



BOMBAY HIGHCOURT
OFFICER CAUSELIST CAUSELIST
For Tuesday The 1st September 2026
COURT NO. 0
SINGLE
AT 11:30 AM
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

HEADER NOTE :

In view of the provisions under Rule 3 (ii) of Chapter XVII of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960, the following Civil Writ Petitions are notified. IT IS HEREBY NOTIFIED for the information of the Advocates and the parties appearing in person at the Principal Seat at Bombay that they shall remove all the Office Objections within 14 days from the date of publication of this Notice, failing which the matter shall forthwith be placed before the

Hon'ble Registrar (Judicial-

I) for necessary Orders as per Rule 3 (iii).*** Advocates being informed that Notification Board

is to remove Office Objections in the listed matter at Branch. There is no need to appear before the Hon'ble Registrar (Judicial-I)

Sr.	CASE NO.	PARTIES	ADVOCATES
<u>OBJECTIONS TO BE REMOVED</u>			
1	WP(ST)/19958/2026 [Civil]	MANDA MUKUND KALE AND ANR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR. REVENUE DEPARTMENT AND ORS	SACHIN CHANDAN
REMARK : obj :- 1) A title not proper regarding serial no. of respondents & their legal heirs. 2) Synopsis is not as per PN 51 i/e. challenge in brief is not mentioned 3) The photo copies annexed are not legible (Ref PN. 24) pg. no. 24, 32. 4) Pages underlines/markings (Ref PN. 24) g. 25 to 27, 48 to 53.			
2	WP(ST)/20738/2026 [Civil]	Anup Udhav Lokhande VS DEPUTY CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR OF MAHARASHTRA STATE	Gaurav Sinha
REMARK : obj :- 1) Pg. nos 125 is not tagged properly. 2) Margin not proper under no. 4 of the chapter IV of the B.H.C.A. Rule, 1960 pg no. 30 to 48, 58, 60, 62, 66, 67 to 73, 77, 87 to 119, 121 to 124, 130, 132, 79 to 87, 121 to 123 (PN 24).			
3	WP(ST)/20741/2026 [Civil]	CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA VS SANOBAR AZAM ALI KHAN AND ANR	SAMEER TENDULKAR
REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Order dated 21/08/2025 mentioned in prayer is not mentioned in Index. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.56,57,64 to 66,103. 3) Typed copy of hand written pages is not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.91,92. 4) Blank portion are not fill - up at pages nos.9. 5) Pages underlines marking as per practice note 24 Pg no.46,96,100.			

4 WP(ST)/20946/2026 NITINKUMAR VASANT SALUNKE BHUSHAN RAUT
[Civil] AND ORS
VS
UNION OF INDIA THR THE SEC.
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Impugned order date not mentioned in prayer. 2) Document are filed in vernacular language but U/T to give its translation is not filed Pg no.52 to 54,96 to 104. 3) Synopsis is not as per practice note 51 i e challenge in brief is not mentioned. 4) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no. 43,44. 5) Pages underline marking as per practice note 24 Pg no.72.

5 WP(ST)/20950/2026 KLIOKIS ANTHONY GOMES SINCE Vedchetan Patil
[Civil] DEC THR HIS LR NITIN JEROME
BABYLON GOMES
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK : obj :- 1) That the memo/Petition/margin not proper as per rule no. 26 of chapter IV of appellate side rules 1960. 2) The photo are annexed are not legible (Ref PN 24) pg. 203, 213, 218 to 221, 244 to 256, 296, 321. 3) Pages not readable some portion is faint exh A. 4) Pages underline marking Ref PN. 24 pg 222, 264 to 269, 272 to 274, 284, 285, 294, 320 to 323.

6 WP(ST)/20964/2026 SUREKHA DAMODAR CHOWDHARY Vedchetan Patil
[Civil] AND ORS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
SEC. MINISTRY OF REVENUE AND
FOREST DEPT. AND ORS

REMARK : obj :- 1) The corrections are made in memo/verification clause however not counter signed Adv. as per Circular dated 15/03/19 pg. no. 57, 61. 2) Margin not proper under no 26 of the chapter IV of the B.H.C.A Rule, 1960 exh. B. 304 to 308 2) The xerox copy are annexed are not legible pg. no. 65 to 115, 224 to 236, 237 to 240, 255 to 267, 309 (PN. 24). 3) Pages underline 140, 270 to 273, 291, 292, 295, 296 to 300.

7 WP(ST)/20980/2026 HARSHVARDHAN RAVINDRA Sagar Sonawane
[Civil] DEODHAR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
PRIN. SEC. ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
..AND ORS

REMARK : obj :- 1) Margin not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B.H.C.A.S. Rules, 1860 pg. no. 20, 51 to 60. 2) The xerox copies annexed are legible 19 to 21, 22 to 27, 41 to 44, 48 to 50 (PN 24)

8 WP(ST)/21031/2026 DHARA MITTAL Sagar Sonawane
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROU. PRINCIPAL SECC. ANIMAL
HUSBANDRAY AND ORS

REMARK : obj : 1) Margin not proper as required under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B.H.C.A.S Rules, 1960 pg. no. 60. 2) The xerox copies annexed are legible pg. no. 19 to 27, 41 to 44, 45, 46, 49, 50 (PN 24)

9 WP(ST)/21032/2026 CHANDRA VIKRAM CHAUDHARY Sagar Sonawane
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY ANIMAL
HUSBANDARY DAIRY AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Margin is not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules.1960.Exh G. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.19 to 27,40 to46,48 to 50.

10	WP(ST)/21038/2026 [Civil]	ANITA DILIP HANDORE VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR PRINCIPAL SECRETARY ANIMAL HUSBADARY DAIRY AND ORS	Sagar Sonawane
----	------------------------------	--	----------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Margin is not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules.1960. Pg no.G. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.Exh A,B,D,E,F.

11	WP(ST)/21041/2026 [Civil]	SIDDHARTH SOMNATH LANDGE AND ANR VS SACHIN RAMESH KALE AND ORS	Namit Pansare
----	------------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.Exh,B.

12	WP(ST)/21047/2026 [Civil]	CHANDRAKANT GANGARAM MULE VS UNION OF INDIA THORU. SEC. DESW MINISTRY OF DEFENCE AND ORS	Angsuman Ojha
----	------------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.629,630,655. 2) Pages not readable Pg no. 643 to 650,1074. 3) Pages underlines marking as per practice note 24 Pg no.187,201 to 207,295,359 to 363,380,538,571 to 575,617,628,631,632.741 to 744.

13	WP(ST)/21048/2026 [Civil]	MRUNAL SAHIL GARUD VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR PRINCIPAL SECRETRARY AND ORS	Sagar Sonawane
----	------------------------------	--	----------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.20,21 To 27,41 to 44,46 to 50. 2) Pages underlines Pg no.48,49.

14	WP(ST)/21060/2026 [Civil]	JAGDISH RAMESH ASWANI VS INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 8 1 PUNE AND ORS	Rutuja Pawar
----	------------------------------	--	--------------

REMARK : obj :- 1) The corrections are made in Index & verification clause not counter signed by adv. as per circulation dated 15/08/19 pg no. 52.

15	WP(ST)/21067/2026 [Civil]	VIANNEY V REMEDIOUS VS ASSISTANT MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER AND ORS	S Venkateshwar
----	------------------------------	--	----------------

REMARK : obj :- 1) That the requisite court fee is paid but Rs. 15/- short. 2) That the certified copies are not properly stamped. 3) The corrections are made in memo/verofocation clause however not counter signed by adv. as per circulation dated 15/3/19 pg. 21. 4) The xerox copies annexed are legible pg. no. 47 to 57, 64. 71 (PN 24).

16	WP(ST)/21068/2026 [Civil]	HEMRAJ PRAKASH PARYANI VS INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 2 W KALYAN AND ORS	Rutuja Pawar
----	------------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Order dated 29/03/2026 mentioned in prayer is not filed. 2) Margin is not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules.1960.Pg no. 98 to 151, 183 to 200.

17 WP(ST)/21089/2026 SHADAB SHABBIR DIWAN AND ORS Prithviraj Sanjay Gole
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROU. ITS SEC. REVENUE AND
FOREST DEPT ANDORS

REMARK : obj :- 1) That the requisite court fee is short 1250/-. 2) The colour copies are filed in exh "A" to "G" but some copies are not legible in sd - II. 2) Margin not proper required under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B.H.C.A.S. Rules 1960, pg no. 61, 62 3) The photo copies annexed are legible. 4) Pages not readable pg no. 61.

18 WP(ST)/21094/2026 KUM DIPALI SAMBHAJI DHAVALA SHRISHAIL SAKHARE
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
THE SECRETARY SCHOOL
EDUCATION AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Double numbering Pages. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.17,18,19,34,36,38,54,55,56 to 67,68 to 72,81. 3) Typed copy of hand written pages is not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.43,44,126 to 139,142.