



BOMBAY HIGHCOURT
OFFICER CAUSELIST CAUSELIST
For Tuesday The 1st September 2026
COURT NO. 0
SINGLE
AT 11:30 AM
DR. M.S. DESHPANDE I/C OF JUDICIAL I

HEADER NOTE :

The Following Writ Petitions (Sr No 1 to 13) were notified on 14/08/2026 for removal of Office objections within 14 days in which office objections are not removed till date. (Sr No 14 to 20) are listed for 2nd time, for necessary orders as office objections are not removed till date.

Sr.	CASE NO.	PARTIES	ADVOCATES
<u>FOR ORDERS (OBJECTION NOT REMOVED)</u>			
1	WP(ST)/17769/2026 [Civil]	SHALINITAI VILAS JADHAV VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR PRIN. SEC. ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND ORS	Sagar Sonawane
REMARK : Objection - 1) As per practice note 41 & clause XII of checklist, annexure - Exh D is not certified as true copy by advocate 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible pg no/ 48, 49 (As per PN 24) 3) Pages not readable (As per practice note 24) pg no. 41 to 44 4) Pages underlines 48 to 50			
2	WP(ST)/17774/2026 [Civil]	SATISH KISAN JAGTAP VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	SachinDeokar GP WRIT CELL
REMARK : Objection - 1) That the papers are not paginated correctly paginated verification page not numbered 2) The corrections are made in verification clause, however not counter signed by Adv. as per circular dtd 15/03/2019 3) As per practice note 41 & clause XII of check list annexure A to F are not certified as True copy by Adv. 4) There are under lines/markings documents presented pg 44 5) Pages not readable pg 26, 67, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 105 6) Docket of the petition is not match with title of the petition			
3	WP(ST)/17777/2026 [Civil]	GAJANAN SHANKAR NALKANDE VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	SachinDeokar GP WRIT CELL
REMARK : Objection - 1) That the papers are not paginated correctly paginated verification page not numbered 2) The corrections are made in memo / verification clause, however not counter signed by Adv. as per circular dated 15/03/2019 page no. 1, 35 3) Index / Synopsis have been filed As per Index on page no. 118 - Exh L colly mention however on pg no. 118, Exh not properly marked Exhibits C to S are over write, hence not properly readable 4) For marathi documents translation U/T not given by Adv.			

4 WP(ST)/17848/2026 THE BARODA RAYON CORPORATION N R Pradhan
[Civil] LIMITED
VS
THERMAX LIMITED

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) That the vakalatnama is filed but company stamp authority letter is not filed. 2) Typed copy of hand written pages is not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no. 61. 3) Pages not readable as per practice note.24 Pg no.18 to 40,44 to 58.

5 WP(ST)/17988/2026 GURPRITSINGH GURUDEOSINGH Rohit D Gorade
[Civil] UPPAL AND
VS
THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY NEE
DEPUTY COLLECTOR AND ORS

REMARK : Obj:- 1) Pg. Nos. 33,134,169 are not tagged properly. 2) Margin not proper under rule 26 of the Chapter IV of the B.H.C.A.S. Rules, 1960. Pg. Nos 30,168. 3) The Photo copies annexed are not legible. (Reference : Practice Note 24) at Page No. 32,33,136 to 158.

6 WP(ST)/18782/2026 SUNIL PUNJARAM BHADANGE AND Estling S Murge
[Civil] ORS Chintamani Kamlakar Bhangoji
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR
SEC. SCHOOL EDU. AND SPORTS
DEPT. AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Typed copy of hand written pages is not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.38,40,41.

7 WP(ST)/19072/2026 TAKSHILA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY Vinayak Pandit
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THRU SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK : Obj:- 1) Representation dated 24/02/2026 and 16/04/2026 mentioned in prayer but not mentioned in Index at Exh C. 2) Margin not proper under rule 26 of the Chapter IV of the B.H.C.A. Rule, 1960. 3) Blank Portion is not fill-up at page Nos. 20.

8 WP(ST)/19157/2026 PRAKASH BAJIRAO RAUT AND ANR Sachin Thorat
[Civil] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.
SECRETARY REVENUE AND FOREST
DEPARTMENT AND ORS

REMARK : Objection - 1) Margin not proper as per rule 26 of chapter IV of the B.H.C.A.S. Rules, 1860, at pg no. 90, 91, 93, 96, 100 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible 81, 97, 93, 96, 98, 100 3) Typed copy of handwritten pages are not filed (as per PN 24) at pg no. 75, 83, 101 to 109

9 WP(ST)/19177/2026 SHRI SHAMBHU MAHADEV R. D. BIRADAR
[Civil] DEVSTHAN TRUST FURSUNGI THR.
ITS CHAIRMAN AND ANR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY SCHOOL
EDUCATION AND SPORT
DEPARTMENT AND ORS 2

REMARK : Obj:- 1) The corrections are made in memo/ Verification Clause, however not counter signed by Adv. as per circular dtd. 15/03/2019- Pg. No. 10.

10 WP(ST)/19193/2026 SANKALP EDUCATION SOCIETY Talekar and Associates
[Civil] THR. ITS AUTHO. SIGN. JAGDISH B.
CHITRAKATHI
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY SCHOOL
EDUCATION AND SPORT
DEPARTMENT AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) That the paper are not properly tagged Pg no.106 to 108. 2) Some page highlight Pg no.105,107.

11 WP(ST)/19197/2026 SITARAM DHONDU SAWANT SINCE R S Kulkarni
[Civil] DECD. THR. LRS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.
THE ADDL. CHIEF SECRETARY
RELIEF AND REHABILITATION AND
ORS

REMARK : Obj:- 1) That the requisite Court fees is paid but short by Rs. 250/-. 2) The Photo copies annexed are not legible. (Reference : Practice Note 24) at Page No.26 to 45, 46 to 50, 53-54, 57-58, 62-63. 3) Typed copy of handwritten Pages/ Orders ae not filed as per Practice Note 51 pg. 52.

12 WP(ST)/19200/2026 ABHISHEK RAJENDRA KAMBLE Vishal Bharat Navale
[Civil] VS
THE NAVI MUMBAI MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION (NMMC) THR
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER AND
ANR

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.18,19.

13 WP(ST)/19262/2026 UMAR FAROOQ JAYSWAL JAGDAMBA OMPRAKASH
[Civil] VS
MAHARASHTRA HOUSING AND
AREA DEVELOPMENT AND ORS

REMARK : Objection - 1) That the Papers are not paginated correctly paginated pg no. 17 para 18 & 19 repeated 2) Pages not tagged properly 44, 45, 57 3) The photo copies annexed are not legible 34, 40, 43, 47, 49, 51, 52, 56,74, 75 (As per PN 24) 4) Typed copy of Handwritten pages are not filed (as per PN 24) at pg no 36, 38, 39

FOR ORDERS (REGULAR)

14 PIL(ST)/14515/2026 BHUSHAN V PETHE Mandar Limaye
[Civil] VS
PUNE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
AND ORS

REMARK : Obj:- 1) Typed copy of H/w pages / orders are not filed. - Pg. no. 56. Obj:- 2) Pages not readable. 42 to 53, 70, 71.

15 WP(ST)/14952/2026 M/S. SUPRABHAT INDUSTRIES THR. T AND K
[Civil] ITS PROPRIETOR SHAILESH A.
ZAGADE
VS
UNION OF INDIA THR. THE
SECRETARY MINISTRY OF LAW AND
JUSTICE DEP. AND ANR

REMARK : Obj:- 1) As per practice note 41 and Clause XII of checklist annexures are not corrected as true copy by Adv. 2) Impugned show cause notice dtd. 02/09/2024 mentioned in prayer is not filed. 3) synopsis is not proper as per Practice Note No. 51. 4) Margin not proper under rule 26 of Chapter IV of the BHCAS Rules 1960. Exh A, 182 to 200, Exh. B. 5) The Photo copies annexed are not legible. (Reference : Practice Note 24) at Page No. 213 to 305, 308 to 347. 6) Typed copy of Handwritten pages / Orders are not filed as per Practice Note 24. Pg. Nos. 201 to 204. 7) Pages not readable. Pg. Nos. 349 to 377.

16	WP(ST)/14956/2026 [Civil]	M/S. ENVIPRO ENGINEERING THR. ITS PROPRIETOR RUKHSANA U. SHAIKH VS UNION OF INDIA THR. THE SECRETARY MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE DEP. AND ANR	T AND K
----	------------------------------	---	---------

REMARK : Obj:- 1) As per Practice Note 41, Clause 12 of checklist annexures are not certified as True Copy. 2) Impugned show cause Notice dtd. 02/09/2024 mentioned in prayer is not filed. 3) In memo parties titles versus word is not mentioned. 4) Synopsis is not proper as per Practice Note 51. 5) Margin not proper under rule 26 of Chapter IV of the BHCAS Rule, 1960. Exh A, Exh B. 6) The Photo copies annexed are not legible. (Reference : Practice Note 24) at Page No. 171,198,203 to 205, 212 to 303. 7) Typed copy of Handwritten Pages/ Orders are not filed. Pg. Nos. 199 to 202,. 8) Pages not readable - 306 to 375.(Practice Note 24)

17	WP(ST)/16164/2026 [Civil]	SAIRA IQBAL SHAIKH ALIAS SAYARABANU MUBARAK SHIKALGAR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR SEC. FINANCE DEPT. AND ORS	Arvind G Ambetkar GP WRIT CELL GP WRIT CELL
----	------------------------------	---	---

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Order report dated 5/12/2026 mentioned in prayer is not filed in the petitioner. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.56,62 to 64,68,70,71.

18	WP(ST)/16186/2026 [Civil]	MANOJ GANGADHAR BURKULE VS DINKAR BALA GAWALI AND ORS	HRISHIKESH SOPAN SHINDE
----	------------------------------	---	-------------------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) Pages not tagged properly Pg no.177,178. 2) As per practice note 41 and clause XII of checklist annexure are not certified as true copy by advocate. 3) Synopsis is not proper as per practice note 51 4) Synopsis font size is small . 5) Proper Act is not mentioned. 6) Margin is not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules.1960.296,300,457 to 473. 7) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.45 to 50,68 to 79,95,98 to 106,202 to 209,269 to 275,302 to 318,322,323,326 to 333,339 to 325,360,362,378,391 to 393,420,421. 8) Typed copy of hand written pages is not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.147,153,155 to 158. 9) Pages not readable as per practice note.24 Pg no.445 to 456. 10) Pages underlines Pg no.366. 11) Check list is not signed by Advocate.

19	WP(ST)/16273/2026 [Civil]	ORACURA SOLUTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANR VS UNION OF INDIA THR THE SECRETARY AND ANR	H G DHARMADHIKARI
----	------------------------------	---	-------------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.59 to69,71,258 to 267.271.295 to 298,349.

20	WP(ST)/16413/2026 [Civil]	LATE PANDU KONDIBA SATAVA THROU. HIS L.H. VS THE REVENUE MINISTER DEPT OF REVENUE AND FOREST AND ORS	KISHOR AJETRAO
----	------------------------------	--	----------------

REMARK : OBJ. : 1) The Petitioner challenge order passed by quasi judicial authority so petition will lie to single Judge. 2) That the vakalatnama is filed thumb impression of petitioner is not attested. 3) In memo paragraph serial no. not proper after Pg no.9. 4) Margin is not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S rules, 1860 Pg no.158 to 166. 5) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.36 to 38,44,155,156.