



BOMBAY HIGHCOURT
DAILY MAIN CAUSELIST
For Tuesday The 1st September 2026
COURT NO. 54
SINGLE
AT 11:00 AM
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE MADHAV J. JAMDAR

HEADER NOTE :

Link- <https://hcbombay.vconsol.com/>

1) Advocates are requested to provide their appearances in typed format only and if not given, their names will not be included in the order sheet. No application for speaking to minutes to correct / include appearances will be entertained in that case.

Sr.	CASE NO.	PARTIES	ADVOCATES
<u>AT 3.00 P.M.</u>			
1	REVN/53/2016 [Criminal]	AJAY VASANT PARALIKAR VS ROHAN RAJDEEP TOLLWAYS LTD.	THOKADE SANJAY DIGAMBER Rajesh Kanojia NACHIKET VILOL KHALADKAR RES NO. 1 TO 6

REMARK : (For Against Conviction) Note: 1)Rule granted on 2/2/2016. 2)Rule writ duly certified. 3) Adv. Nachiket Vilol Khaladkar has filed VP for resp No. 1 to 6 on 05/08/2019. 4) Advocate Res Juris has filed vakalatnama for Applicant (consent obtained) 5)Advocate for Applicant has filed Affidavit on 07/08/2024 (in IA 349 of 2018) 6) Cri.APPR 349/2018 (for permission to travel abroad) is withdrawn and disposed of on 20/07/2026. 7) As per Court's order dated 20/07/2026, Advocate for Applicant has tenders a compilation for documents kept herewith. Court (SJ) for final Hearing at 3.00 pm

2	REVN/182/2016 [Criminal]	T. RAGHAVAN S/O LATE A. THIRUVENGADATHAN VS CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	M RAMESH Public Prosecutor KULDEEP SHANKARRAO PATIL
---	-----------------------------	---	---

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1)English translation not filed. U.T.given. 2) As per ct's order dtd 20.6.16, Affidavit-in-reply filed by Respondent- CBI on 18/7/2016 is kept at page Nos. 219 to 245. 4) Rejoinder filed by Adv.for applicant is kept herewith at page Nos. 246 to 428. 5) As per court's Order dated 12/10/22 Cri. WP 4417/17 is tagged herewith 7) IA Nos. 3984/2025 and 3983/2025 filed through e-filing is tagged herewith. 8) As per Court's order dated 15/04/2026, a) Notes of submission on behalf of the Applicant b) Citations (page no. 21 to 17) paging is not properly. c) Relevant page of PMLA Rules, RBI Master etc are tendered in Court are kept herewith. 9)Connected Revn 198 of 2016 is disposed vide Court's order dt.20.11.25. Court (SJ) For Admission at 03.00 p.m.

with

IA/3984/2025
(AMH20240129172C20250 Central Bureau of Investi
0006)
[Criminal] gation
VS
In RAMESH D AJMERA
REVN/182/2016

with

IA/3983/2025
(AMH20240129172C20250 Central Bureau of Investi
0004)
[Criminal] gation
VS
In T Raghavan son of late A
REVN/182/2016 Thiruvengadathan

WITH

REVN/199/2016 RAMESH D. AJMERA Rahul Agarwal
[Criminal] VS APPLICANT
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTI SACHINDRA BHASKAR SHETYE
GATION Public Prosecutor
RESPONDENT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note:#1)English translation not filed. U.T.Given. 2)Adv. for the applicant has filed documents on 21/03/2016 is kept herewith at page no. 188 to 363. (Page nos.188 & 189 are in torn condition) 4) As per ct's order dtd 20.6.16, Affidavit-in-reply filed by Respondent-CBI on 18/7/2016 is kept herewith at page 365 to 386. 5)As per courts order dt. 01/07/2017 Adv. for the resp has filed affidavit in reply on 05/07/2017 is kept herewith separately as paging not done in continuation.(Page No.1 to 25) 6)Connected Revn 198/16 is disposed vide Court's order dt.20.11.25. 7)Revn 182 of 2016 a/w WP 4417 of 2017 are tagged herewith. 8)IA 1020 of 2026 for restoration is disposed vide Court's order dt.30.04.26 and Revn 199 of 2016 is restored. 9)Advocate Rahul Agarwal has filed vakalatnama for the Applicant on 20.03.2023. 10)Advocate Kuldeep Patil has filed Note of appearance for Respondent No.1 on 23/04/26 through e-filing. Court (S.J) for Admission at 03.00 p.m.

WITH

WP/4417/2017 SWAPAN KUMAR DEB RAMA SUBRAMANIAN
[Criminal] VS
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTI
GATION AND ORS

REMARK: (FOR QUASHING - ORDER) :: OBJECTIONS :- ## 1) Copy of application is not served on P.P. U/T given. ##Note:-1) As per Court's Order dt. 21/11/2017, Affidavit in Reply has filed by A.P.P. on 19/12/2017 is kept herewith at page no. 310 to 333. 2) Writ issued. ## Court S.J. for Admission/Fresh.

With

IA(ST)/18188/2025
(AMH20240129172C20250 Central Bureau of Investi
0005)
[Criminal] gation
VS

In Swapan Kumar Deb
WP/4417/2017

3 WP/2808/2024 MILAN RATILAL ZATAKIA Mehta and Padamsey
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For issuing process) Office Objection : ##1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given ##2] Photo copies annexed are not legible - UT given. ##3] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. ##4] Typed copy is not given of H/W. pages. UT given. Note : 1) As per order dated. 27.09.2023, Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment in court in prayer clause (d) 2) Continuous Writ issued. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2, is received back duly served. 4) Cri. W.P. 2810/2024 is kept herewith. 5) Cri. WP Nos. 2546/2023 and 2547/2023 are tagged herewith as per Court's order dtd 06/02/2024. However these matters due on 04.07.2024 hence detagged. 6) As per Court's order dtd 15/03/2024 the Affidavit in Reply not filed by the Advocate for Respondent No.2 till today. 7) The Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered an affidavit of service in the Court on 12.03.2026. Court SJ for final hearing At 03.00 pm

WITH

WP/2810/2024 MILAN RATILAL ZATAKIA DIR M and P
[Criminal] ECTOR MILLENNIUM AERO DYN
AMICS PRIVATE LIMITED AND
ANR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing issuing process) Office Objection : 1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. 2] Photo copies annexed are not legible - UT given. 3] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 5] Typed copy is not given of H/W. pages. UT given. Note : 1) As per court's order dated. 27.09.2023, Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment in court in prayer clause (d). 2) Continuous Writ issued. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 is received back duly served. 4) Cri. W.P. 2808/2024 is kept herewith. 5) Cri. WP Nos. 2546/2023 and 2547/2023 are tagged herewith as per Court's order dtd 06/02/2024. However these matters due on 04.07.2024 hence detagged. 6) As per Court's order dtd 15/03/2024 the Affidavit in Reply not filed by the Advocate for Respondent No.2 till today. Court SJ for final hearing At 3.00 pm

4 WP/672/2025 M/S. ZEST PHARMA AND ANR Raju Maruti Yamgar
[Criminal] VS SINGH SANDEEP KUMAR
THE UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order for issuance if process) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. Note :- 1) Singh Sandeep Kumar, Advocate has filed Memo of appearance for Respondent No.1 on 10.06.2025. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh) AT 3.00 PM AS PER ORDER DTD. 27.08.2026

5 WP/960/2025 SHABBIR FAIZULLABHAI SUNIL DSOUZA
[Criminal] CHAHWALA AND ORS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 18.08.2026

6	WP/1684/2025 [Criminal] (AMH20230038352C20250016)	Piyush Gangji Chheda VS Navyug Chemicals Pvt Ltd	DUBEY DINESHKUMAR AMARNATH DUBEY DINESHKUMAR AMARNATH SHETTY MALHOTRA AND ASSOCIATES
---	---	--	---

REMARK : e-Filing No. -AMH20230038352C202500016 (Petitioner Copy Supplied) (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Shetty Malhotra & Associates has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 05.05.2025 through e-filing. 2) As per Order dated 04.04.2025, the Advocate for Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 11.07.2025, which is kept herewith at page nos. 175 to 216. 3) As per order dtd. 07.08.2026 Written Submission tender in Court by Advocate for Petitioner and Respondent no. 1 is kept herewith. 4)Writ issued. 5)As per order dtd. 11.08.2026 has communicated to the office of the appointed as Amici query Mr. Ashok Mundargi, Learned Sr. Counsel assist the Hon'ble Court. (Court SJ for Admission/After Notice) At 3.00 pm as per order dtd 11.08.2026

7	IA/1884/2025 [Criminal] (AMH20240128254C20250002) In REVN/86/2017	SHAH AGENCIES AND ORS VS State of Maharashtra	PIYUSH NAVINCHANDRA SHAH PIYUSH NAVINCHANDRA SHAH MAITREYA GIRISH SHUKLA Nitin kalshetti Piyush Shah Shivani Shah JUGAL KANANI
---	---	---	--

REMARK : e-Filing No.: AMH20240128254C202500002 For Recall the order Note: 1. REVN 86 of 2017 is kept herewith. 2. Respondent No.2 has filed affidavit in reply through e filing. Court S.J. For Final Hearing at 03.00 p.m. (as per order dated 22/07/2026)

8	WP/2859/2025 [Criminal]	SHREE SWAMI SAMARTH CONSTRUCTION AND ANR VS VIMLA JAYANT MARU THROUGH JAYANT SURJI MARU AND ANR	Manohar Jatin P Karia Shah
---	----------------------------	---	-------------------------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 1 is kept in 2nd part. 2) Vakalatnama filed by Advocate for the Respondent No.1 on 17.06.2025. 3) As per order dtd 06.08.2026 Advocate for the Petitioner has deposited Rs. 3,00,000 /- vide DD No. 932681 on 06.08.2026 (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

9	ABA/3418/2025 [Criminal]	ANURAG VILAS ATAL VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Tejas Shetty
---	-----------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK : (For Anticipatory Bail) Note : 1. Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 07/11/2025 of trial Court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2. Copy Of Original FIR is not filed. UT accepted by RJ II. FIR No. 282 of 2025 UT given. 3. As per courts order dtd. 11.12.2025 the advocate for the applicant has carried out amendment by adding respondent no. 2 in memo. 4. Mr. Mulawkar waives service for respondent no. 2. 5.Order dt.17/08/2026 is remain to communicated. Court S. J. for Admission (HOB).

WITH

ABA/3420/2025 [Criminal]	VILAS MADHAV ATAL VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Tejas Shetty
-----------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK: (For Anticipatory Bail) Note : 1. Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 07/11/2025 of trial Court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2. Copy Of Original FIR is not filed. UT accepted by RJ II. FIR No. 282 of 2024 UT given. 3. As per courts order dtd. 11.12.2025 the advocate for the applicant has carried out amendment by adding respondent no. 2 in memo. 4. Mr. Mulawkar waives service for respondent no. 2. 5. Order dt. 17/08/2026 is remain to communicated. Court S. J. for Admission (HOB).

10	WP/3038/2026 [Criminal]	VISHAL N. BHUPTANI AND ANR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	--	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

11	WP/3952/2026 [Criminal]	GODFREY THOMAS ALVARES VS THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY	Mohd Qais Shakil Ahmed
----	----------------------------	--	------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note: 1) As per order dtd 14.08.2026 Advocate for the Respondent has not filed Affidavit-in-reply till date. (Court S.J. for Admission) At 3.00 pm as per order dtd. 14.08.2026

AT 3.30 P.M.

12	WP/6588/2025 [Criminal]	SATNAM SINGH PANESAR AND ORS VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	BAGLA AND ASSOCIATES
----	----------------------------	--	----------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) APP waives service of notice for Respondent No.1. 2) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.2. 3) As per Order dated 22.01.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 23.03.2026, stating therein that notice served to Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. The said two affidavits kept in office part. 4) Writ issued. 5) As per Order dated 22.01.2026, Criminal Writ Petition No. 6120/2025 is kept herewith. 6) As per Order dated 26.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 23.04.2026, through E-filing. 7) As per Order dated 26.03.2026, Criminal Writ Petition No. 1031/2026 (Criminal Writ Petition (St) No. 3668/2026) is kept herewith. 8) Affidavit-in-Rejoinder of all petitioner has filed by Advocate in tender in court on 05.08.2026 is kept at page no. 208 to 234. (Court S.J. for Order) At 1.00 pm as per order dtd. 18.08.2026

WITH

WP/1031/2026 [Criminal]	ICEWORTH REALTY LLP THROU GH KIRITBHAI N VAGHANI AN D ANR VS SECURITEIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA AND ANR	AMIR ARSIWALA
----------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK: (OUTWARDFor - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 6588/2025 and 6120/2025 are kept herewith. 2) The Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 23.04.2026, through E-filing. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/6120/2025 [Criminal]	LIBERAL REALTORS LLP AND ORS	Prabhakar Manohar Jadhav
----------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------

VS
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE B
OARD OF INDIA AND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 26.11.2025, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 10.02.2026, through E-filing. The said reply tendered in the Court on 26.03.2026 which is taken on record and the same is kept herewith at page nos. 233 to 249. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.2 is received back duly served. 3) Writ issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition No. 6588/2025 and Criminal Writ Petition No. 1031/2026 (Criminal Writ Petition (St) No. 3668/2026) are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice) Circulation granted for 22.07.2026

-FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

13 APL/1632/2025 JAYESH SHAH
[Criminal] VS
VISA SYNDICATES AND ANR

REMARK : (For Extension of time) # Note:- 1) Cri. Application (APL) No.1632/2025 is disposed of on 16/06/2026. 2) Writ issued on 10/07/2026. 3) Now letter dated 28/07/2026 is received on 01/08/2026 from Judicial Magistrate (First Class), 6th Court, Mazgaon, Mumbai for extension time till 31/12/2026 to dispose of C.C. No. 3193/SS/2005. 4) Court (S.J.)/For Orders.

14 WP/5045/2025 JITENDRA KESHAVAL SHAH Tamsin Monis
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Extension of time) # Note:- 1) Cri. Writ Petition No. 5045/2025, Cri. Writ Petition No. 5046/2025 is disposed of on 30/09/2025. 2) Writ issued on 03/11/2025. AND 3) Cri. Writ Petition No. 5048/2025 is disposed on 01/10/2025. 4) Writ issued on 18/10/2025. 5) Now letter dated 23/07/2026 is received on 29/07/2026 from Rohini Suhas Bhosale Narsale, Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 8th Court, Mumbai, I/c. 23rd Court, Esplanade, Mumbai for extension six months time to dispose of C. C. No. 2303005/SS/2012, 2303406/SS/2012, 2302995/SS/2012. 6) Court (S.J.)/For Orders.

WITH

WP/5046/2025 JITENDRA KESHAVAL SHAH (TAMSIN MONIS
[Criminal] HUF)
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

WITH

WP/5048/2025 NEELANJANA JITENDRA SHAH Tamsin Monis
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

15 WP/6715/2025 V.T. PALRESHA AND COMPANY Sumit Kothari
[Criminal] PRIVATE LIMITED
VS
SAINATH ENTERPRISES PVT. LTD.
AND ORS

REMARK : (For Extension of time) # Note:- 1) Cri. Writ Petition No. 6715/2025 is disposed of on 29/01/2026. 2) Writ issued on 12/02/2026. 3) Now letter dated 03/07/2026 is received on 06/07/2026 from Arvind T. Wankhede, 12th Jt. C.J.J.D. and J.M.F.C., (Court No.6), Pune for extension of six months time to dispose of S.C.C. No. 411983/2007. 4) Court (S.J.)/For Orders.

HIGH ON BOARD (HOB)

16 APL/897/2025 RAMESH K KARANGIA V K TIWARI
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1.How maintainable. Before S.J. UT given by Advocate 2.As per cts order dated 11/08/2026 a) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1-State b)Notice issued to Respondent No.2, Service report awaited c)Affidavit of Service not filed till today Court (SJ) for Order HOB

17 WP/1237/2025 BABUBHAI PURUSHOTTAM DAS KIRAN JAIN AND CO
[Criminal] STOCK BROKER PVT LTD AND ANR Narayan Rokade
VS
HANSRAJ TARACHAND GARWAL
AND ANR

REMARK : for Quashing Order Office Objection : 1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. 2) Small fonts pages statements re annexed is required in appropriate font- U/T Note : 1) Office report (opinion of the committee) dated. 27.06.2025, regarding the Respondent No. 1, to appear In-person before the Honourable court is kept herewith at flag "A". 2) Vakalatnama has filed by Advocate for the Respondent No.1 Court S.J. for Admission H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

18 WP/2223/2025 SUHAS GOPAL SHUKLA Lakshyaved R Odhekar
[Criminal] VS
D.S. KULKARNI AND CO AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. Note:- 1) Cri. Writ Petition No. 2225/2025 (Cri. WP (st) No.4254/2024) is tagged herewith as per Court's order dtd 29/02/2024. 2) APP waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents-state. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 and 2, is received back duly served. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

WITH

WP/2225/2025 MRUDULA SUHAS SHUKLA Lakshyaved R Odhekar
[Criminal] VS
D S KULKARNI AND CO

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. Note:- 1) Cri. Writ Petition No. 2223/2025 (Cri. WP (st) No.4385/2024) is tagged herewith as per Court's order dtd 29/02/2024 2) APP waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents-state. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 and 2, is received back duly served. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice (High on Board, As per Order dated 30.06.2026)

19 WP/2542/2025 SABIR SAMIR SHAIKH AND ANR PRATIK SHEVALE
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note : 1) APP waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondent / State. 2) As per order dated 26.06.2025, the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Dehuroad Division, Pimpri Chinchwad Police Commissionerate, Pune has filed an affidavit in reply on 11.07.2025 which is kept herewith at page nos. 131 to 146. 3) As per order dated 21.07.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 01.08.2025 by deleting prayer clause 'e". Court S.J for Admission/After Notice H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

20 WP/3074/2025 PRITHVI INDIA LOGISTICS Amit Pai
[Criminal] THROUGH BHUPAL K SAMANT SAKSHI JOGDAND
VS
FAZE THREE AUTOFAB LIMITED @
EARLIER KNOWN AS AUNDE INDIA
LIMITED AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Sakshi Jogdand, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. 2) APP waves service on behalf of the Respondent No.4. 3) The Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has filed an affidavit in reply on 26.08.2025, which is kept herewith at page nos. 127 to 142. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

21	WP/3965/2025 [Criminal]	GUNWANT NANJI KHIRANI VS MEENA ATUL JOSHI AND ANR	DHARMESHJOSHI
----	----------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Continuation Writ issued. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 is received back duly served. 3) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3356/2025, 4120/2025, 4429/2025, 5965/2025 & 3970/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

WITH

WP/3356/2025 [Criminal]	NEERU PRAVIN JAIN VS M/S GOLDIES LAB CHEM THRO UGH MR P H BHOJWANI AND A NR	DHARMESHJOSHI KUNAL D AMBULKAR
----------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Kunal D. Ambulkar, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 22.07.2025. 2) Compilation of Judgement is kept herewith separately. 3) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3965/2025, 3970/2025, 4120/2025 and 4429/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission). Circulation granted for 20.07.2026

WITH

WP/3970/2025 [Criminal]	YASH ENTERPRISE THROUGH M R JITENDRA PREMJI SALVA VS MEENA ATUL JOSHI AND ANR	DHARMESHJOSHI
----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Continuation Writ issued. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 is received back duly served. 3) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3965/2025, 3356/2025, 4429/25and 4120/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

WITH

WP/4120/2025 [Criminal]	JITENDRA PERMJI SAVLA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	DHARMESHJOSHI
----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Continuation Writ issued. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 is received back duly served. 3) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3965/2025, 3356/2025, 3970/2025 and 4429/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice) Circulation granted for 20.07.2026

WITH

WP/4429/2025 [Criminal]	M/S SHIKHAR STEEL AND ANR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	DHARMESHJOSHI
----------------------------	---	---------------

AND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.2. 2) As per Order dated 21.08.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 18.11.2025, stating therein that notice to Respondent No.2 is returned unserved with remark 'Refused'. 3) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3965/2025, 3970/2025, 4120/2025 and 3356/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S J for Order)

22 WP/4161/2025 SHRIKANT NATHAJI SHELKE AND ABHISHEK R AVACHAT
[Criminal] ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note : 1) Writ issued. 2) APP waives notice to Respondent No.1-State. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice). H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

23 WP/4318/2025 MAITREE KAMLESH SHAH D/O KSHITIJA WADATKAR
[Criminal] KAMLESH SHAH Aditya Mithe
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Writ issued. 2) Aditya Mithe, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 on 16.03.2026. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice). H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

24 WP/4931/2025 PACE STOCK BROKING SERVICES Abhinesh Yadav
[Criminal] PVT LTD
VS
UTTAM KAILASCHAND TIBREWAL
AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court SJ for Admission) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

25 WP/6401/2025 VAISHALI W/O VINAYAKRAO JADHAV Adv Rupesh A Jaiswal
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) APP waives service of notice for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. 2) Criminal Writ Petition No. 6417/2025 is kept herewith. 3) Affidavit in reply by Public Prosecutor on 31.01.2026 is kept herewith at page no. 70 to 80. (Court S.J. for Admission)

WITH

WP/6417/2025 VINAYAKRAO S/O BALWANTRAO Adv Rupesh A Jaiswal
[Criminal] JADHAV
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) APP waives service of notice for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. 2) As per Order dated 22.01.2026, the APP has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 3) Criminal Writ Petition No. 6401/2025 is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Order) (High on Board, As per Order dated 21.07.2026)

26 WP/6512/2025 RENU RAMESH SONAWANE AND ROKADE NARAYAN GOPINATH
[Criminal] ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1) As per Order dated 21.01.2026 APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 1. 2) As per Order dated 21.01.2026 Notice issued to Respondent No. 2, Service Report Awaited. Reminder - I issued. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

27 WP/6654/2025 NILESH PRAVINCHANDRA Karmarkar and Associates
[Criminal] PANCHAL
VS
HUTCHISON ESSAR LTD (NOW
KNOWN AS VODAFONE) AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- #1] Advocate for petitioner has not supplied spare copies of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent Nos. 1 to 10. #2] APP appeared for Respondent-State. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

28 IA(ST)/10825/2025 MUKESH GORELALSINGH THAKUR TUUSHAR SONAWANE
[Criminal] AND ANR TUUSHAR SONAWANE
In VS
WP/3739/2024 STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : For Restoration Note : 1) Cri. W.P. 3739 / 2024 (dismissed on 26.11.2024) is kept herewith. Court S.J. for Order H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 18.08.2026 Note IA st 10825 of 2025 misplace and under search.

WITH

IA(ST)/10831/2025 MUKESH GORELAL SINGH THAK TUUSHAR SONAWANE
[Criminal] UR
VS
THE MAHARASHTRA STATE ELE
CTRICY DISTRUCTION COMPAN
Y THROUGH EXECUTIVE ENGIN
EER AND ANR

REMARK: For Restoration Note : 1) Cri. W.P. (st) 9586/2024 (dismissed on 26.11.2024) is kept herewith. Court S.J. for Order Circulation granted for 18.08.2026 Note Ia st 10831 of 2025 is misplace and under search.

29 IA(ST)/18811/2025 SAPREM PRAKASH THAKUR AND Vivek Pandey
[Criminal] ORS Vivek Pandey
In VS
WP/4320/2025 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For Extension of time) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition No. 4320/2025 is kept herewith. (Court SJ for Order)

30 WP/60/2026 NANDA NANASAHEB GAIKWAD Sandeep S Salunkhe
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note : 1) As per order dated 13.01.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 16.01.2026 by annexing the copy of order dated. 07.08.2025 at Exhibit "K" from page nos. 61 to 64, adding relevant averments and grounds to the Petition. Court S.J. for Admission H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

31 WP/557/2026 NARAYAN PUNDALIK SHENVI Aniket Pardeshi
[Criminal] PRABHU AND ANR Legasis Partners
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order). Note :- 1) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.1. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.2 (Vakalatnama filed) 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

32 WP/570/2026 LELLA PURUSHOTTAM GAUSHALA Raju Gupta
[Criminal] SEVA TRUST THROUGH SACHIN
GURUNATH ZUNJARRAO
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

33 WP/587/2026 PRAKASH DINKAR BADHE Pooja Agarwal
[Criminal] VS Mohit Anil Bhat
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1) As per order dated 13.02.2026, Advocate for the petitioner has not supplied petition copy hence notice could not be issued to Respondent No.2. Respondent No. 1 is formal party. 2) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

34 WP/730/2026 AKBAR TRAVELS OF INDIA PVT LTD YENDE LEGAL ASSOCIATES
[Criminal] THROUGH DEEPESH NATRANJAN DATTATRAY BHAGWAN DHAINJE
IYER
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service on behalf of the Respondent No.1. 2) Order dated 02.01.2026, communicated to office of PP. 3) Advocate for the petitioner has filed certified Copy of order dated 18/12/2025 on 10/02/2026. 4) Dattatray B. Dhanje, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 on 21.01.2026. 5) The Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed reply on 11.03.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 56 to 60. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 25.08.2026

35 APL/836/2026 STERLING AND WILSON PRIVATE P S Chambers
[Criminal] LIMITED THROUGH JOHNSON
VARGHESE
VS
VIKRANT JADHAV AND ORS

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note : 1. Application does not accompany CC of the judgement or order dt. 23.01.26 of trial court and first appellate court, if any. Court SJ for Admission.

36 WP/938/2026 SAVITABEN NAGJIBHAI PATEL AND T N Tripathi
[Criminal] ORS HEMANT KENJALKAR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing issuing process order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note : 1) Certified copy filed by the Advocate for the Petitioner (the Roznama filed in M.M., 71st Court, Bandra) on 13.02.2026 is kept herewith. 2) Affidavit in reply of Respondent No.2 filed on 29.04.2026 is kept herewith at page No.79 to 141 (paging not done properly). 3) Vakilpatra filed for Respondent No.2 on 23.03.2026. (Court S.J. for Admission) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

37 APL/962/2026 SHANKAR NAMDEO MULIK Akash Pandey
[Criminal] VS
ASHADEVI MAHILA NAGARI
SAHKARI PATHSANTHA

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Court S. J. for Admission. HOB

38 WP/1067/2026 RAJESH SINGH RAWAT PAWAN MALI
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:-1) As per order dated 12.03.2026, notice issued to Respondent No.2. Service report awaited. 2) APP waives service of Notice on behalf of Respondent No.1. 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

39 WP/1172/2026 BRISTLECONE INDIA PRIVATE Khaitan and Co
[Criminal] LIMITED PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS Abhishek Radheshyam Mishra
BRISTLECONE INDIA LTD. AND ORS.
VS
INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT PR-CI-
-2, MUMBAI THROUGH DINESH
CHAURASIA AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order/Issuing Process) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:-1) As per order dated 13.03.2026, notice issued to Respondent No.1 (Vakalatnama filed through E-file) 2) APP waives service of Notice on behalf of Respondent No.2. 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

40 WP/1220/2026 SACHIN SHIVNARAYAN SABOO sandeep s ladda
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : For Quashing Order Office Objections : 1) The copy of the Application is not served on the public prosecutor. - UT given. Note:-1) Certified Copy of Order dated 01/04/2025 filed by Advocate for the Petitioner on 10/03/2026 is kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission / Fresh H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

41 WP/1301/2026 JITENDRAKUMAR HARKISHAN Shailesh Kharat
[Criminal] PATEL THOOL ASHWINIKUMAR
VS CHANDRABHAN
UNION TERRITORY OF DIU DAMAN
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Direction/Quash Look Out Notice) Note : 1) Note of Appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 1 is filed through e-filing (AMH20250014010D202600073) on 22.06.2026. 2) Shri. Pradeep G. Rajgar, Station House Officer, Coastal Police Station, Moti Daman in the Union Territory Administration of Dadar Nagar Haveli and Daman Diu filed an Affidavit-in-Reply on through e-filing (AMH20250014010D202600074) on 22.06.2026. Copy of the same is kept herewith at Pg. Nos. 181 to 187. (Court SJ for Admission) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

42 APL/1327/2026 Pintu Virchand Darji
[Criminal] VS
(LMH20230005233C20260 Mansi P Darji
0003)

REMARK : Note:- Office report dated 12/06/2026 regarding opinion of the Committee regarding appear party in person, given to replacement table on 22/06/2026. Court S.J. For Admission.

43 WP/1334/2026 ANILKUMAR MANOHARLAL KUNAL D AMBULKAR
[Criminal] AGGARWAL
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent-State. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

44 WP/1407/2026 AL-MAS PERFUMES THROUGH A and G Legal Associates LLP
[Criminal] PROPRIETOR ARAFATH M.K. Madan Gupta
ALLIEAS ARAFATH
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service of notice for Respondent No.1. 2) Vakalatnama has filed for Respondent No. 2 (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

45 APL/1467/2026 AMAL INVESTMENTS PRIVATE Tanmay Karmarkar
[Criminal] LIMITED THROUGH MR HARSH M/S. MEHTA and GIRDHARLAL
GOKAL
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing of FIR) Note : 1. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. 2. Small fonts pages statements are annxed is required in appropriate font. 53-75,75-78,81-94,99-168,202-209,21-219-262 UT given . Court S. J. for Admission.

46 APL/1476/2026 ISHWAR SINGH MOSRSINGH Adv Kushaan Solanki
[Criminal] PARMRA
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For quashing Order) Court S.J. For Admission (HOB).

47 WP/1511/2026 MOHAMMAD RASHID KAMAL @ Sana Raees Khan
[Criminal] KAMAL RASHID KHAN
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Notice) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 16.04.2026, amendment not carried out till today. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

48 APL/1516/2026 ANIKET MADHUKAR RANJEET M PAWAR
[Criminal] CHANDANSHIVE
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 3) The copy of applications is not served on the PP. UT given. 4) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given Court SJ for Admission (HOB)

49 WP/1587/2026 HARI CHAND MITTAL AND ORS. Lakshmi Raman
[Criminal] VS AVYAAN LEGAL
PRH RESOURCES PRIVATE LIMITED
AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 10.08.2026

WITH

WP/1590/2026 [Criminal]	HARI CHAND MITTAL AND ORS . VS A TRADE OVERSEAS PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH ADARSH GU PTA AND ANR.	Lakshmi Raman AVYAAN LEGAL
----------------------------	---	-------------------------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 03.08.2026

WITH

WP/1592/2026 [Criminal]	HARI CHAND MITTAL AND ORS . VS AMEET ENTERPRISES THROUGH ADARSH GUPTA AND ANR.	Lakshmi Raman AVYAAN LEGAL
----------------------------	--	-------------------------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/20173726, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 05.08.2026

WITH

WP/1738/2026 [Criminal]	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI MITED THROUGH SAURABH MIT TAL AND ANR VS PRH RESOURCES PRIVATE LIM ITED THROUGH ADARSH GUPTA AND ANR	Lakshmi Raman
----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 05.08.2026

WITH

WP/1741/2026 [Criminal]	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI MITED THROUGH SAURABH MI TTAL AND ANR VS AMIT ENTERPRISES THROUGH ADARSH GUPTA AND ANR	Lakshmi Raman
----------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1739/2026	KRITI MITTAL	Lakshmi Raman
[Criminal]	VS	
	PRH RESOURCES PRIVATE LIM	
	ITED THROUGH ADARSH GUPTA	
	AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1737/2026	KRITI MITTAL	Lakshmi Raman
[Criminal]	VS	
	AT TRADE OVERSEAS PRIVATE	
	LIMITED THROUGH ADARSH G	
	UPTA AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/20218556, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1744/2026	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI	Lakshmi Raman
[Criminal]	MITED THROUGH ITS AUTHOR	
	ISED REPRESENTATIVE AND A	
	NR	
	VS	
	AT TRADE OVERSEAS PRIVATE	
	LIMITED THROUGH ADARSH G	
	UPTA AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1745/2026	KRITI MITTAL	Lakshmi Raman
[Criminal]	VS	
	AMEET ENTERPRISES THROUGH	

ADARSH GUPTA AND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1855/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1856/2026 [Criminal]	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI MITED THROUGH SAUABH MITT AL AND ORS. VS AT TRADE OVERSEAS PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH ADARSH G UPTA AND ANR.	Lakshmi Raman
----------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/1855/2026 [Criminal]	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI MITED THROUGH SAUABH MITT AL AND ORS. VS PRH RESOURCES PRIVATE LIM ITED THROUGH ADARSH GUPTA AND ANR.	Lakshmi Raman
----------------------------	---	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1856/2026 and 1857/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 04.08.2026

WITH

WP/1857/2026 [Criminal]	KALYANI ADITYA MINERAL LI MITED THROUGH SAUABH MITT AL AND ORS. VS AMEET ENTERPRISES AND ANR	Lakshmi Raman
----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Mr. Joshi, Advocate waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) APP waive service on behalf of State. 3) Due to Short time writ remain to be issued. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 1587/2026, 1590/2026, 1592/2026, 1737/2026, 1738/2026, 1739/2026, 1741/2026, 1744/2026, 1745/2026, 1855/2026 and 1856/2026 are kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

50 WP/1613/2026 SAMRAT GEMS IMPEX PRIVATE PRAKASH MISHRA
[Criminal] LIMITED AND ANR Yashpal Jain
VS
FENNY SANDEEP JAIN AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Yashpal Jain, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 30.06.2026. 2) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.2. 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

51 WP/1628/2026 SACHIN BHUSHAN BHAT AND ORS MA and Co
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Charge Sheet) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

52 WP/1691/2026 KRITI DIVYANGSINH CHAUHAN Racheeta R Dhuru
[Criminal] VS
HARISINH PARSOTTAM SOLANKI
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #Note:- 1] Notice issued to Respondent no. 1 service report awaited. 2] Writ issued. 3] Criminal writ nos. 1693 of 2026, 1694 of 2026, 1692 of 2026 and 638 of 2026 are kept herewith (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

WITH

WP/638/2026 KIRTI DIVYANSINH CHAUHAN Racheeta R Dhuru
[Criminal] VS
NEHA HARISINH SOLANKI AND
ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 service report awaited. 2) APP waives service of notice for Respondent No.2-State. 3) As per Order dated 18.03.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 4) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

WITH

WP/1692/2026 KIRTI DIVYANGSINH CHAUHAN Racheeta R Dhuru
[Criminal] VS
SULEKHA HARIINH SOLANKI A
ND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #Note:- 1] Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 service report awaited. #2] Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order) Circulation granted for 12.08.2026

WITH

WP/1694/2026 KIRTI DIVYANGSINH CHAUHAN Racheeta R Dhuru
[Criminal] AND ANR
VS
NEHA HARISINH SOLANKI THR
OUGH HARISINH SOLANKI AN
D ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #Note:- 1] As per order dated 09.04.2026, the Advocate for petitioner has supplied spare copy on 28.04.2026, hence due to short time, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.1. #2] Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

WITH

WP/1693/2026 KIRTI DIVYANGSINH CHAUHAN Racheeta R Dhuru
[Criminal] VS
MALVIKA HARISINH SOLANKI
THROUGH HARISINH SOLANKI
AND ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #Note:- 1] Notice issued to Respondent No.1 service report awaited. #2] Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

53 WP/2101/2026 VIJAY P. SHARMA Dinesh D Tiwari Associates
[Criminal] VS
VIVEK K. MAKHIJA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

54 WP/2174/2026 NITIN VILAS MORE Narayan Rokade
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

55 ABA/2318/2026 PAWAN GOPAL SINGH @ SENI TUUSHAR SONAWANE
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For Anticipatory Bail) Note : 1. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. Court S. J. for Admission. HOB

56 ABA/2319/2026 ARUNDHATI JAYADEEP TAMBVEKAR Satyam H Nimbalkar
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For Anticipatory Bail) Note : 1. Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 11/04/2026 of trial Court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. Court S. J. for Admission. HOB

57 ABA/2322/2026 HASMAT RAZA NAZIR MOHAMMAD SHAIKH RUKHSAR
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For Anticipatory Bail) Note : 1. Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 08/08/2026 of trial Court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. Court S. J. for Admission. HOB

58 WP/2364/2026 SUNIL SUTT SHARMA Janhavi
[Criminal] VS The Law Point
SECURITES AND EXCHANGE BOAED
OF INDIA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) The Law Point has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 08.05.2026, through E-filing. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

59	WP/2383/2026 [Criminal]	VISHAL S/O. EKNATH GAWDE VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Ashok M Saraogi
----	----------------------------	---	-----------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Charge Frame Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 25.08.2026

60	WP/2985/2026 [Criminal]	VISHAL N. BHUPTANI VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	--	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

61	WP/2989/2026 [Criminal]	VAIBHAVI N BHUPTANI AND ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	---	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

62	WP/2990/2026 [Criminal]	VAIBHAVI VISHAL BHUPTANI VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	--	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

63	WP/2991/2026 [Criminal]	VAIBHAVI VISHAL BHPTANI VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Halai and co
----	----------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

64	WP/2997/2026 [Criminal]	VISHAL N. BHUPTANI VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	---	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

65	WP/3039/2026 [Criminal]	VAIBHAVI VISHAL BHUPTANI VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Halai and co D V SAROJ
----	----------------------------	--	---------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

66	WP/3245/2026 [Criminal]	DINESH SHETTY S/O LATE BHASKAR SHETTY VS UNION OF INDIA AND ANR	Lalla and lalla
----	----------------------------	--	-----------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Proceeding) Note : 1) As per order dt. 07/03/2024, Advocate for the petitioner has carried out amendment on 15/03/2024. 2) As per Court's order dtd 11/07/2024 and 02/08/2024, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed Affidavit in Reply on 21/08/2024 is kept herewith at Page Nos.159-174. 3) Admitted on 23/08/2024. 4) Advocate Ms. Manisha Jagtap waives notice on behalf of Respondent No.1. 5) Rule writ issued. (Court SJ for Final Hearing) H.O.B. AS PER ORDER DTD. 18.08.2026

67	WP/3573/2026 [Criminal]	RAMBHIA PRAVIN DAMJI THROUGH KARTA PRAVIN DAMJI RAMBHIA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	satyavrat joshi D M GALANI
----	----------------------------	--	-------------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2) Vakalatnama has filed by Respondent No. 2 through E-file. 3) Affidavit has tender in court on 11.08.2026 by Advocate for the Petitioner is kept herewith at page no.749 to 758 (Court S.J. for Admission) At 3.00 pm as per order dtd. 11.08.2026

WITH

WP/3574/2026 [Criminal]	PRAVIN DAMJI RAMBHIA VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	satyavrat joshi D M GALANI
----------------------------	---	-------------------------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

FOR CIRCULATION

68	WP/2978/2024 [Criminal]	GULNAZ SALIM MERCHANT AND ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	rinki agarwal
----	----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : 1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. 2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. 3] Photo copies annexed are not legible - pg. nos. 34 - UT given. 4] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 5] Typed copy is not given of H/W. pages. UT given. 6] Margin required according to office circular. - Pg. nos. 42, 43, 50-52 - UT given. Note : 1) Same Notice with fresh forwarding letter issued to Respondent No. 2, is not received back. Reminder-I issued. Service report awaited. 2) Writ issued. Court SJ for Order Circulation granted for 29.08.2026

69	WP/3056/2024 [Criminal]	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST COMPANY LTD THROUGH MR ROHIT KUMAR CHAWDA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	The Law Point Adithya R Iyer
----	----------------------------	---	---------------------------------

REMARK : For Quashing Issuing Process:- Objections:- #1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. U/t given.#2) The photocopy / copies annexed are not legible. U/T given.#3) The copy of application is not served on the public prosecutor. U/T given.#4) Small fonts pages statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. U/T given.#5) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. U/T given. Note : 1) Adithya R. Iyer has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 on 08.07.2025. 2) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3057/2024 & 3058/2024 are kept herewith. 3) As per Order dated 25.08.2025, Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 2612/2024, 2614/2024 & 2664/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

WITH

WP/2612/2024	M/S AVANTHA HOLDINGS LIM	Adithya R Iyer
[Criminal]	TED AND ORS	
	VS	
	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST C	
	OMPANY LTD AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the 14/10/2021, 12/04/2023 of trial court and First Appellate Court if any. U.T accepted by Registrar Judicial-II.#3] Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. - UT given.#4] The Photocopy/copies annexed are not legible - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3056/2024, 3057/2024, 3058/2024, 2614/2024 & 2664/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission/Fresh

WITH

WP/2614/2024	M/S AVANTHA HOLDINGS LIM	Adithya R Iyer
[Criminal]	TED AND ORS	
	VS	
	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST C	
	OMPANY LTD AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the 14/10/21, 12/04/2023 of trial court and First Appellate Court if any. U.T accepted by Registrar Judicial-II.#3] Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. - UT given.#4] The Photocopy/copies annexed are not legible - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3056/2024, 3057/2024, 3058/2024, 2612/2024 & 2664/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission/Fresh (High on Board, As per Order dated 14.07.2026)

WITH

WP/2664/2024	M/S AVANTHA HOLDINGS LIM	Adithya R Iyer
[Criminal]	TED AND ORS	
	VS	
	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST C	
	OMPANY LTD AND ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Photo copies annexed are not legible - UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #3] The Petition does not accompany certified copy of the judgement order of trial court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT given. Accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) # Note : Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3056/2024, 3057/2024, 3058/2024, 2612/2024 & 2614/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission (High on Board, As per Order dated 14.07.2026)

WITH

WP/3057/2024	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST C	The Law Point
[Criminal]	OMPANY LTD	Adithya R Iyer
	VS	
	THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	
	AND ORS	

REMARK: FOR Issuing process Office Objection : 1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #3] Small fonts pages statements are annxed is required in appropriate font. UT given. #4] The Photo copy / copies annexed are not legible. - UT given. #5] Typed copy is not given of hand written pages - UT given. Note : 1) Adithya R. Iyer has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 on 08.07.2025. 2) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3056/2024 & 3058/2024 are kept herewith. 3) As per Order dated 25.08.2025, Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 2612/2024, 2614/2024 & 2664/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice (High on Board, As per Order dated 14.07.2026)

WITH

WP/3058/2024	TAURUS INVESTMENT TRUST C	The Law Point
[Criminal]	OMPANY LTD.	Adithya R Iyer
	VS	
	THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	
	AND ORS	

REMARK: For Quashing Issuing Process:- Objections:- #1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. U/t given. #2) The photocopy / copies annexed are not legible. U/T given. #3) The copy of application is not served on the public prosecutor. U/T given. #4) Small fonts pages statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. U/T given. Note : #1) Adithya R. Iyer has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 on 08.07.2025. 2) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 3056/2024 & 3057/2024 are kept herewith. 3) As per Order dated 25.08.2025, Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 2612/2024, 2614/2024 & 2664/2024 are kept herewith. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice (High on Board, As per Order dated 14.07.2026)

70	WP/6096/2024	HARSH GALANI	Darshit Jain
	[Criminal]	VS	
		MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF	
		GREATER MUMBAI (F/N WARD) AND	
		ORS	

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

71	WP/90/2025	NIKETAN DILIP PALDHE	Advait Helekar
	[Criminal]	VS	Link legal
	(ECHCBM01076882023)	HINDUSTAN COCA-COLA	
		BEVERAGES PVT. LTD	

REMARK : E-filing No. ECHCBM01076882023 For Quashing Order Note : 1) Notice issued to Respondent No.2 is received back with duly served. #2) Writ issued. Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

72	APL/632/2025	SHAKIR MEHBOOB SHAIKH	ANIKET VAGAL
	[Criminal]	VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1. As per the Court's order dated 13/06/2025 the Advocate for Applicant has carried out an amendment in the Court on 13/06/2025 by adding Respondent No.2. 3. Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 served through his mother which may not be treated as good service. 4. Office Report dtd. 17/07/2025 is kept herewith. 5. Order dt. 03/08/26 is remain to communicated. Court S. J. For Order. Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 Date: 24.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)

73	WP/639/2025	VIJAY SAHADEV SHINDE	SACHIN R PAWAR
	[Criminal]	VS	
		GUNA TAIRAM UBHARE ALIAS	
		GUNA CHANDRYA JADHAV AND ANR	

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Photo copies annexed are not legible - UT given. Note :- 1)As per Order dated 07.07.2025, Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 623/2025 & 640/2025 are kept herewith. 2) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.2-State of Maharashtra. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 is received back duly served. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

WITH

WP/623/2025
[Criminal]

VIJAY SAHADEV SHINDE
VS
LAXMAN TIKAM JADHAV AND A
NR

Sachin Ramrao Pawar

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 639/2025 & 640/2025 are kept herewith. 2) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.2-State of Maharashtra. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 is received back duly served. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice

WITH

WP/640/2025
[Criminal]

VIJAY SAHADEV SHINDE
VS
BAPU @ BALU CHANDRYA AND
ANR

Sachin Ramrao Pawar

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 623/2025 & 639/2025 are kept herewith. 2) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.2-State of Maharashtra. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 is received back duly served. Court SJ for Admission/After Notice

74 WP/1002/2025
[Criminal]

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH MANOJ
N GAMBHIRE
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

Sandeep Kumar Singh

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied the spare copies of the petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent Nos. 2 to 4. 2) Continuation Writ issued. 3) As per Order dated 25.07.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. (Court SJ for Order) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

75 WP/2302/2025
[Criminal]

DEVIDAS VISHWANATH LAAD
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

ROKADE NARAYAN GOPINATH
Bhushan Ulhas Deshmukh

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service for the State/Respondent No.1. 2) Notice issued to Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 is received back duly served. (Vakalatnama filed) (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 w/m Circulation granted for 18.08.2026

76 WP/3260/2025
[Criminal]

RAJKOT NAGARIK SAHAKARI BANK
THROUGH MR SURESH MARUTI
PATIL
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Prakash Jagannath Salsingikar

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J for Admission) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

77 WP/3461/2025
[Criminal]

NAHEED GINWALLA
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

PRASANNA PRATAP PATIL
Pan India Legal

REMARK : (For - Quashing FIR) Note :-1) Writ issued. 2) Pan India Legal has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no. 2. 3) As per order dated 17.01.2026, the Cri.W.P. Nos. 3439/2025, 3549/2025, 3554/2025 and 3555/2025 tagged herewith. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

with

IA(ST)/26360/2025	ZENOBIA ROHINTON POONAWAL	Pan India Legal
[Criminal]	A	
	VS	
In	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
WP/3461/2025	ANR	

WITH

WP/3439/2025	RUSTOM GINWALLA AND ORS	PRASANNA PRATAP PATIL
[Criminal]	VS	Pan India Legal
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing FIR) Note :- 1) Cri.WP No.3439/2025 is restored as per court's order dtd 28/07/2025 passed in Cri. IA No.2792/2025. 2) Writ issued. 3) Advocate Pan India Legal has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no. 2. 4) Cri. I.A. (st) No. 26386/2025 is kept herewith. 5) Writ duly certified. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

With

IA(ST)/26386/2025	ZENOBIA ROHINTON POONAWAL	Pan India Legal
[Criminal]	A	
	VS	
In	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
WP/3439/2025	ANR	

WITH

WP/3549/2025	DR AASHISH CONTRACTOR AND	PRASANNA PRATAP PATIL
[Criminal]	ANR	Pan India Legal
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note : 1) As per order dated 11.07.2025, the advocate for the petitioner has carried out amendment on 17.07.2025 by correcting the numbers of prayers. 2) Vakalatnama filed by advocate for the respondent no. 2 on 06.10.2025. (Court SJ for Admission)

WITH

WP/3554/2025	DR SURESH AGARWAL	PRASANNA PRATAP PATIL
[Criminal]	VS	Pan India Legal
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :-1) Writ issued. 2) Pan India Legal has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no. 2. 3) Cri. I.A. (St) No. 26384/2025 is kept herewith. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

With

IA(ST)/26384/2025 ZENOBIA ROHINTON POONAWAL Pan India Legal
 [Criminal] A
 VS
 In STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
 WP/3554/2025 ANR

WITH

WP/3555/2025 SUSHILA LANDGE AND ANR PRASANNA PRATAP PATIL
 [Criminal] VS Pan India Legal
 STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
 ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note :-1) Writ issued. 2) Pan India Legal has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no. 2. 2) Cri. I.A. (St) No. 26354/2025 is kept herewith. 3)Writ duly certified. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

With

IA(ST)/26354/2025 ZEENOBIA ROHINTON POONAWA Pan India Legal
 [Criminal] LA
 VS
 In STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
 WP/3555/2025 ANR

78 IA/4412/2025 SANJEEV SAT PRAKASH GOYAL A Karim Pathan
 [Criminal] VS A Karim Pathan
 In STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
 WP/4087/2025

REMARK : (For - Stay) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition No.4087/2025 is kept herewith. (Court SJ for Order) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

79 WP(ST)/24071/2025 HARISH PUNJALA DHARIA AND ORS SHB Legal
 [Criminal] VS
 STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the impugned Judgment or order dt 30.05.2024 of trial court and First Appellate Court if any. U.T accepted by Registrar Judicial-II. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2025.

80 APL/167/2026 HARIS GULABRAI CHAWLA MAHAVEER JAIN
 [Criminal] VS
 (AMH20240127174C20260 PR DHRECTOR OF INCOME TAX INV
 0007) 2

REMARK : e-Filing No.: AMH20240127174C202600007 NOTE: 1. App waives service of notice for respondent no. 3. 2. Adv. Abhinav Palsikar waives service of notice for respondent no. 1 &2. 3. Order dtd 12.02.2026 communicated. 3. Advocate Sushma Nagraj has filed Affidavit in reply for respondent no. 1 & 2 on 03.08.2026 through e-filing Court S. J. for Admission. Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 31.08.2026 Date: 04.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)

81 APL/371/2026 IVA SATISHCHAND BANSAL Pan India Legal
 [Criminal] VS
 STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1. APP waives service of notice on behalf of respondent-State. 2. Office report dtd 07.03.2026 is kept here with at flag "A" Court S. J. for Admission. Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 Date: 12.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)

82	APL/413/2026 [Criminal]	HARSHAD KANTITAL DAVE VS ANUPAMA HARSHAD DAVE AND ANR	Aalekh Wagh
----	----------------------------	--	-------------

REMARK : (For Quashing of entire Domestic Violence Proceedings) Note : 1. Small fonts pages statements annexed are required in appropriate font. UT given. 2. Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 3. Notice issued to respondent no. 2, service report awaited. 4. Order dtd. 30/04/2026 remain to be communicated. Court S. J. for Order. Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 Date: 17.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)

83	WP/429/2026 [Criminal]	ABHISHEK NARAIN MATHUR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Krupali H Rajani
----	---------------------------	---	------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

84	WP/991/2026 [Criminal]	DR MANISH NANDLAL TARDEJA AND ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Pan India Legal
----	---------------------------	--	-----------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

85	APL/1540/2026 [Criminal]	IRFAN MOHAMMED SHAUKAT NIYAMAT KHAN VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	KARAN LALIT JAIN
----	-----------------------------	--	------------------

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note- 1. the copy of application is not served on the p.p U/T Court S.J For Admission Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 Date: 17.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)w/m

86	APL/1664/2026 [Criminal]	VENKATA BHASKARA RAO MADDALA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Hedgehog and Fox LLP
----	-----------------------------	---	----------------------

REMARK : For Quashing FIR Note- 1.Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 17/07/2026 31/07/2026 10/08/2026 of trial Court and Frist Appellate Court, if any. UT 2.The Copy of Application is not served on the publice prosecutor U/T Court S.J For Admission.

87	APL/1665/2026 [Criminal]	VENKATA BHASKARA RAO MADDALA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Hedgehog and Fox LLP
----	-----------------------------	---	----------------------

REMARK : For Quashing FIR Note- 1.Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 17/07/2026 31/07/2026 10/08/2026 of trial Court and Frist Appellate Court, if any. UT 2.The Copy of Application is not served on the publice prosecutor U/T Court S.J For Admission.

88 WP/2400/2026 SATENDRA VIJENDRA GUPTA Vrushabh Savla
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1) Advocate for the Petitioner has filed Certified Copy of FIR is Kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

89 IA/2628/2026 PRAMILA SUBHASHCHAND MISHRA HAREKRISHNA MISHRA
[Criminal] AND ANR HAREKRISHNA MISHRA
In VS
WP/6300/2025 STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

90 WP/2799/2026 CHAITANYA MALLAPPA SANGOLI KOTALI RAMJI TUKARAM
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

91 IA/3040/2026 NAVDURGA STEEL TRADERS AND Sahil Sayyed
[Criminal] ANR. Sahil Sayyed
In VS
APL/1644/2026 M/S Z V STEELS PVT LTD AND ANR.

REMARK : For Stay Note- 1.The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT Court S.J For Order Coram: Madhav J. Jamdar, J. Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 Date: 25.08.2026 For Reg. (Judl.-I)w/m

92 WP/3046/2026 Zuber Usmangani Khatri PABARI MUKESH JAYANTILAL
[Criminal] VS SUNIL DSOUZA
(AMH20210053266C20260 ASJ Consultancy Pvt Ltd
0016)

REMARK : e-Filing No.- AMH20210053266C202600016 (For - Quashing Complaint) (Petitioner copy supplied) Note: 1) Vakalatnama has filed for Respondent No.1 (Court S.J for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

93 WP/3714/2026 JAYANT VISHWANATH DATAR samay
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

94 WP/3748/2026 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
[Criminal] VS samay
(AMH20240126753C20260 MR ANURAG AWASTHI
0228)

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1)As per order dtd. 29.07.2026 APP appearing for the Petitioner-State. 2)Vakalatnama has filed by Advocate for the Respondent No. 1. 3)Writ remain to be issued. (Court S.J. for Admission)

95 WP/3826/2026 AMOL BAJIRAO GAMBHIRE AND Mrunmai Kailas Rokade
[Criminal] ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Committal Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

96	WP/3978/2026 [Criminal]	KULDEEP SINGH HARJEET SINGH GOZRA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	KARAN LALIT JAIN
----	----------------------------	--	------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

97	WP/4253/2026 [Criminal]	HARI SHANKAR VS AKBAR TRAVELS OF INDIA PVT. LTD. AND ANR	Prakash Jagannath Salsingikar
----	----------------------------	---	-------------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

98	WP/4382/2026 [Criminal]	JIGNESH BHARAT MANIYAR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	j n jain
----	----------------------------	--	----------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

99	WP(ST)/10505/2026 [Criminal]	ELIRAN MOSHE RUSO AND ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	Adv Abhishek 274/2022
----	---------------------------------	---	--------------------------

REMARK : (For - Direction/Quashing Order) Note:- 1) As per Order dt. 21/05/2026, Advocate for the petitioner has carried out amendment forthwith. 2) Akshar Laws, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.3 on 02.06.2026. 3) Interim Application No. 1925/2026 (for Intervener) is kept herewith. 4) Interim Application (Stamp) No. 12104/2026 (for Intervener) is kept herewith. 5) The Advocate for the Respondent No.3 has filed an affidavit in reply on 09.07.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 99 to 102. 6) As per Order dated 16.07.2026, passed in Interim Application No. 1925/2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an additional affidavit till today. (Court S.J. for Order) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026

with

IA/1925/2026 [Criminal]	YASHDEEP CHAITANYA NIKAM VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	Saurabh Rane
----------------------------	---	--------------

with

IA(ST)/12104/2026 [Criminal]	SHYAM BIHARI VISHNUKUMAR SANGHI VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	Waquar Ahmad
---------------------------------	---	--------------

100	WP(ST)/14488/2026 [Criminal]	ABHISHEK RAMPALAT JORIYA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Ravi Dwivedi
-----	---------------------------------	--	--------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 10/07/2026.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

101 WP(ST)/15841/2026 RAISA KHAN POONAWALA@RAISA Adv Rajesh P Khobragade
[Criminal] MUSTAFA BAIG AND ANR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 30/06/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

102 WP(ST)/15884/2026 SANTOSH RAJARAM CHIKNE AND Aby
[Criminal] ANR
VS
UMESH YESHWANT MANE AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 20/07/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

103 WP(ST)/16716/2026 SHEETAL JATIN DESAI @ SHEETAL Madan Gupta
[Criminal] GAMBHIR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 05/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

104 WP(ST)/17156/2026 JEM FISCAL LIMITED THROUGH RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] ZOHRA HAKEEM
VS
SHARAN KHANNA AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

105 WP(ST)/17158/2026 JEM FISCAL LIMITED THROUGH RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] ZOHRA HAKEEM
VS
TAPIN KHANNA AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

106 WP(ST)/17159/2026 JEM FISCAL LIMITED THROUGH RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] ZOHRA HAKEEM
VS
BHARATI KHANNA (DECEASED)
THROUGH LEGAL HEIR SHARAN
KHANNA AND ORS.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

107 WP(ST)/17161/2026 ZOHRA NAZIR HAKEEM RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] VS
SHARAN KHANNA AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

108 WP(ST)/17162/2026 ZOHRA NAZIR HAKEEM RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] VS
BHARATI KHANNA (DECEASED)
THROUGH LEGAL HEIR SHARAN
KHANNA AND ORS.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

109 WP(ST)/17163/2026 ZOHRA NAZIR HAKEEM RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
[Criminal] VS
TAPIN KHANNA AND ANR.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Order dated 01/08/2026-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 01/09/2026.

DUE ADMISSION - 1

110 WP/5640/2024 SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT SSP LEGAL
[Criminal] BANK OF INDIA Ayesha Maldar
VS
THE STATE OF MAHRAASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Ayesha Maldar & Vibha Mishra, Advocates has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2. 2) Request letter of Respondent No.3 received through Mumbai Central Prison, vide outward no. 1472/2025 dated 12.03.2025, is kept herewith. 3) Ayesha Maldar, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.3. 4) As per order dtd. 24.07.2026 Interim Application No. 2253/2026 (for Direction) is disposed of is kept in office part. 5) As per the extant assignment, the Petition lie before the Hon'ble Single Bench taking up Criminal Writ Petition matters u/s. 482 of Cr.P.C./528 of BNSS (except those assigned to other Courts) from the year 2024 onward. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

111 APL/710/2025 PRASHANT VAGHASIA ALIAS PATEL ERNEST N TUSCANO
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing FIR) Note : 1. As per courts order dtd 17.03.2026 the advocate for the applicant has carried out amendment on 27.03.2026 by adding para no 49 (page no 16 a) grounds 19, 1b and 1c, page no 18a & 18b adding prayer clause b1 and b2 and adding charge-sheet from page no 52-1 to 52-1025. 2.APL 714 of 2025 is tagged herewith. Court S.J. For Admission.

WITH

APL/714/2025
[Criminal]VIDYA ASHOK KARKERA
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANRSHAILA PANDEY
Pendari Naseembanu

REMARK: (For Quashing FIR) Note : 1. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. Court S.J For Admission.

112 WP/934/2025
[Criminal]MEHUL JAYANTILAL SEDANI AND
ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

Khushboo Mahendra Jain

REMARK : (For Quashing Order for issue process) Note: 1) As per order dtd. 05.08.2026 APP waives service on behalf of the State. 2) Writ issued. 3) Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied copy of Petition hence notice could not be issued to Respondent No. 2. (Court SJ for Order)

113 WP/3801/2025
[Criminal]SAHGAL ENTERPRISES THROUGH
ARUNI KAPIKUMAR SAHGAL
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

ARSUMA SUHEL

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note: 1) As per order dtd. 05.08.2026 the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 25.08.2026. (Court S.J for Admission)

114 WP/6608/2025
[Criminal]SAKTHI ENGINEERING WORKS
VS
VELARAM KANARAM PATEL AND
ANR

Chandni Chawla

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note:- 1) Certified Copy dated 17/02/2025 has filed on 05/12/2025. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 is received back duly served. 3) APP waives service of notice to Respondent No.2. 4) As per Order dated 22.01.2026, the APP has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 5) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

115 WP/6746/2025
[Criminal]OSHIN LAURANCE BRAGANZA
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

SHEKHAR S BHISE

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note: 1) As per order dtd. 22.07.2026 the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 04.08.2026. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 and 3 service report awaited. (Court S.J. for order)

116 APL/1373/2026
[Criminal]AJAY MALHOTRA
VS
M/S NATIONAL INDIA BULLION
REFINERY AND ORS

Ganesh and Co

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 3) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given 4) APP waives service on behalf of the respondent No.5 - State. 5) Notice issued to the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, service report awaited. 6) Advocate for the applicant has filed an affidavit of service on 27/08/2026, stating therein that respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are served through hand delivery. Court S. J. for Orders.

117 APL/1374/2026
[Criminal]NIRMAL VEDPRAKASH MALHOTRA
VS
NATIONAL INDIA BULLION
REFINERY AND ORS

Ganesh and Co

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 3) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given 4) As per Court's order dt.05/08/26: a) APP waives service for Respondent No.5/State. b) Notice issued to Respondent Nos.1 to 4, service awaited. c) Advocate for the Applicant has not filed affidavit of service till today. Court SJ for Order

118	WP/1589/2026 [Criminal]	SAKHARAM VASANTRAO KOLSEPATIL VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	VIVEK VIJAY SALUNKE SANDESH B MANIKHEDKAR (APPOINTED ADV THROUGH LEGAL AID)
-----	----------------------------	---	--

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP appeared for the State. 2) Sandesh B. Manikhedkar, Advocate has appointed as "Legal Aid Counsel" for Respondent No.2 by High Court Legal Services Committee, Mumbai vide No. HCLSC/Cri-WP/1994/2026 dated 15.07.2026 3) As per order dtd. 13.08.2026, notice issue under Rule 9 of the contempt of Courts (Bombay High Court) Rule 1994 to Mr. Dashrath Genu Nikalje (Respondent No. 2) Mr. Prashant Dashrath Nikalje and Mr. Akash Dashrath Nikalje. 4) As per order dtd. 20.08.2026 Contempt Petition No. 11 of 2026 is disposed of. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

119	WP/2610/2026 [Criminal]	NAYAN PALA VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	RAJESH SINGH
-----	----------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note:-1)Writ issued. 2) As per Order dated 13.07.2026, Criminal Writ Petition No. 2310/2026 is kept herewith. 3)As per order dtd. 05.08.2026 APP waives service on behalf of the State. 4)Notice issued to the Respondent NO. 2 service report awaited. (Court S.J. for Order)

WITH

WP/2310/2026 [Criminal]	LAMECH VENKATESAN AND ORS VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	RAJESH SINGH
----------------------------	--	--------------

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1)As per order dtd. 05.08.2026 APP waives service on behalf of the State. 2)Notice issued to the Respondent NO. 2 service report awaited. 3) Criminal Writ Petition No. 2610/2026 is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Order)

120	WP/3370/2026 [Criminal]	RAVINDRA ARVIND BANDE AND ORS VS VIJAYA MANOHAR BANDE AND ANR	PRATIK BALASAHEB RAHADE
-----	----------------------------	--	-------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) App waives service on behalf of the Respondent No. 2-State. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 service report awaited. 3)Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

121	WP/3700/2026 [Criminal]	PRAVIN BHAVAN BHAI PATEL VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Adv ibraheem K M
-----	----------------------------	---	------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. However, as per the Order dated 04.08.2026, the APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.2 i.e. Bhavin Keshubhai Patel. Hence, for want of rectification of the typographical error, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.2. (Court S.J. for Order)

122 WP/3765/2026 Indrani Mukerjea SANGLE RANJEET VISHNUPANT
[Criminal] VS
(AMH20250002096C20260 The Central Bureau of Investigation
0002)

REMARK : e-Filing No. AMH20250002096C202600002 (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) As per order dtd. 05.08.2026 Respondent No. 1 has not filed Affidavit -in-reply till date. (Court S. J. for Order)

123 WP/3859/2026 KESHAVJI DAMJI MINAT@KAMLESH Saurabh D Butala
[Criminal] MINAT AND ANR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note: 1) As per order dtd. 07.08.2026, Affidavit has filed by Assistant Police Inspector, Chunabhatti Police Station Mumbai is kept herewith at page nos. 83 to133. (Court S.J. for Admission)

FOR ADMISSION /HEARING

124 REVN/499/2016 NIKHIL VRAJLAL TALATI A S KHAN AND ASSOCIATES
[Criminal] VS AKSHAY ARUN DESHMUKH
M/S. MAYANT ELECTRO LTD. AND RESPONDENT NO.1
ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note: #1)APP for the State/Resp.No.2. #2)C.C. not filed hence delay not calculated U.T. accepted by Reg. Judl.(I) on 2/9/16. #3) Order communicated. #4) Adv. Mr. Akshay Arun Deshmukh filed VP for Resp. No.1 on 9/6/2017 #For Admn.

125 APL/74/2024 SHRUTI SHASHIKANT PABHAREKAR R B Munekar
[Criminal] AND ORS RAJU M YAMGAR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing order) Note: 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) Advocate Raju M. Yamgar has filed Vakalatnama on 14/03/2024 for the respondent No.2. Court SJ for Admission

126 APL/139/2024 JSW STEEL COATED PRODUCTS Legasis Partners
[Criminal] LIMITED THROUGH MR. RAJENDRA
CHAUHAN AND MR. KRISHNARAO
MOHITE
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : (1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. (2) Photocopy / copies annexed at Pg Nos. 48, 54-64 are not legible. UT Given. 3) Order dated 26/08/2025, is remain to communicated. 4) As per Courts order dated 26/08/2025, Applicant has not filed list of events till today. 5) Advocate for the respondent No.2 has filed affidavit in reply, which is kept herewith. (paging not done) 6) Advocate R.P Chuby has not filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No.2 till today. Court S.J. for Orders.

127 APL/190/2024 GANESH UDDHAV MARATHE AND Amit Icham
[Criminal] ORS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1) APL 189/24 & 182/24 are tagged herewith 2) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 3) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 4) APP waives service for Respondent / State. 5) Small fonts pages statements annxed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 6) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 7) Order dated 31/01/2025 remained to be communicate. 8) Notice issued to Respondent No.2, service report awaited. Court SJ for Orders.

WITH

APL/189/2024 GYANENDRA KUMAR SHARMA AN Amit Icham
[Criminal] D ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note: 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 3) APP waives service for Respondent/State. 4) Small fonts pages statements annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 5) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 6) Order dated 31/01/2025 remained to be communicate. 7) Notice issued to Respondent No.2, service report awaited. Court SJ for Orders.

WITH

APL/182/2024 SANTOSH DEVIDAS RAUT AND Amit Icham
[Criminal] ORS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note: 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 3) APP waives service for Respondent / State. 4) Small fonts pages statements annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 5) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 6) Order dated 31/01/2025 remained to be communicate. 7) Notice issued to Respondent No.2, service report awaited. Court SJ for Orders.

WITH

APL/490/2024 SANTOSH DEVIDAS RAUT AND Amit Icham
[Criminal] ORS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note : (1) APP waives service for Respondent / State. 2) Order dated 04/07/2024 is remained to communicate. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 service awaited Court S.J. For Orders.

128 APL/210/2024 NIKHIL RAJENDRA CHANDARANA SATHYANARAYANAN R
[Criminal] VS K R SHAH
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For quashing order) Note: 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 3) Advocate has filed Affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 kept herewith (at page no. 129-209) 4)IA 313 of 2026 is disposed off vide Court's order dated 03/02/2026. 5)Writ Issued Court SJ For Admission.

129 APL/468/2024 SURENDRA TILAKDHARI MISHRA SUMIT KRISHNA KUMAR TIWARI
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note: 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 3) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 4) Small fonts pages statements annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. 5) Order dated 02.09.2025 is remained to be communicate. 6) Notice issued to respondent No.2 is returned unserved with remark that incomplete address. 7) Advocate for the applicant has not provide the correct address of the respondent No.2. Court S. J. For Orders

130	APL/573/2024 [Criminal]	ALIFIYA MUFFADAL KHARAWALA AND ANR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Sonal V Parab Aniket Gawand Aniket Gawand
-----	----------------------------	--	---

REMARK : (for Quashing Order) Note: 1. Typed English translation of relevant order, Judgment is not filed.U.T.given. 2. The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible.U.T.given. 3. The copy of application is not served on the Public Prosecutor and Ant.U.T.given 4. Small fonts pages statements are annexed is required in appropriate font.U.T.given 5.Typed copy is not given to hand written pages.U.T.given. 6. Advocate Aniket Gawand has filed Vakalatnama for the applicant Nos. 1 and 2, consent obtained. Court (S.J) for Admission

131	WP/1265/2024 [Criminal]	SADGURU SAKHARAM MAHARAJ VITTHAL RUKHMINI SANSTHAN THROUGH ITS CHIEF TRUSTEE VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	NITIN GAWARE PATIL Sugandh Deshmukh
-----	----------------------------	--	--

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. Note : 1) Mr. Kiran Shinde, APP waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondent No. 1-state. 2) Notice duly served on Respondent No. 2, (V.P. filed on 27.06.2024) 3) Continuation Writ issued. 4) As per Order dated 05.05.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 09.05.2025. Court SJ for Admission / After Notice

132	APL/1365/2024 [Criminal]	SONALI NIMISH ARORA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	RAJEEV SAWANT AND ASSOCIATES Arun Panickar Vashi and Vashi
-----	-----------------------------	---	---

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note 1. Mr. S. M. Mangaonkar, learned APP waives service for Respondent No. 1 - State. 2. Adv. Arun Panickar has filed V.P. for Respondent No 2. 3. Affidavit in reply of Respondent No. 3 is filed on 26/09/2025, kept at Pg. No. 337-419. 4. IA 4425/25 is tagged herewith. Court SJ For Admission.

with

IA/4425/2025 [Criminal]	FERANI HOTELS PVT LTD., VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	Vashi and Vashi
----------------------------	--	-----------------

In

APL/1365/2024

133	APL/1500/2024 [Criminal]	FLIPCAR INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED AND ORS VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	J Sagar Associates Auris Legal
-----	-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

REMARK : (For quashing order) Note:- 1) The photo copy annexed are not legible. U.T. given. 2) Small fonts pages statements annexed is/are required in appropriate font. U.T. Given. 3) Auris Legal has filed vakalatnama for respondent no. 2 on 17.12.2024. 4) The counsel for the respondent No.2 has filed affidavit-in-reply through e-filing on 13/05/2026. 5) Notice issued to the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 is returned unserved with remark 'for want of time'. Court S.J. For Orders.

WITH

APL/445/2025 [Criminal]	BINNY BANSAL VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	J Sagar Associates Auris Legal
----------------------------	--	-----------------------------------

ANR

REMARK: For Quashing Order Note 1. Copy not served to PP UT given. 2. Adv. Auris Legal has filed V.P. for Respondent No. 2. 3.APL 1500 of 2024 is tagged herewith. Court S.J. For Admission.

134	WP/1519/2024 [Criminal]	JANVI NARESH HARCHANDANI VS LAXMIBAI JETHANAND HARCHANDANI AND ORS	MOHAN RAWAT
-----	----------------------------	---	-------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

135	APL/1596/2024 [Criminal]	JYOTSNA SHRIVASTAV VS DIRECTORAE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE AND ANR	Rajendra S Bidkar BAJORIA MEGHA SHASHI
-----	-----------------------------	---	---

REMARK : For Order Notes 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No. 1 is filed on 29/07/2026 through E-filing. 3) Note of appearance filed by the Advocate for the respondent No.1 through e-filing on 18/08/2026. Court SJ For Admission

136	APL/1604/2024 [Criminal]	DINESH CHAVAN VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Rajendra S Bidkar
-----	-----------------------------	---	-------------------

REMARK : For Quashing Order Notes 1) Typed English Translation of relevant order, Judgment is not filed. UT Given. 2) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. 9, 10, UT given. 3) APP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent/State. Court SJ For Admission.

137	APL/1707/2024 [Criminal]	ASHOK AMRITLAL DODHIA VS PUSHPA GOBINDRAM KESWANI AND ANR	PRABHAT KUMAR J DUBEY RAVI GURNANI
-----	-----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note 1. Copy not served to P P UT given. 2. Order dated 13.02.2025 is communicated. 3. Order dated 05/08/2025 is remained to be communicated. 4. Office Report dtd. 04/09/2025 is kept herewith. 5. APL 1715 of 2024 of APL 1717 of 2024 are tagged herewith. 7. Advocate Ravi Gurani has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no.1 Court SJ for Admission.

WITH

APL/1715/2024 [Criminal]	ASHOK AMRITLAL DODHIA VS KARAAN ANAND KESWANI AND ANR	PRABHAT KUMAR J DUBEY RAVI GURNANI
-----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

REMARK: For Quashing Order Note 1. Copy not served to P.P UT given. 2. Order dated 13.02.2025 is communicated. 3. Order dated 05.08.2025 is remained to be communicated. 4. APL 1707 of 2024 and APL 1717 of 2024 are tagged herewith. 5. Advocate Ravi Gurani has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no.1 Court SJ for Admission

WITH

APL/1717/2024 [Criminal]	ASHOK AMRITLAL DODHIA VS LATA LILARAM GURNANI AND ANR	PRABHAT KUMAR J DUBEY RAVI GURNANI
-----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

REMARK: For Quashing Order Note:- 1) Order dated 13.02.2025 is communicated. 2). Order dated 05.08.2025 is remained to be communicated. 3)APL 1707 of 2024 and APL 1715 of 2024 are tagged herewith. 4) Advocate Ravi Gurani has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent no.1 Court SJ for Admission.

138	APL/1804/2024 [Criminal]	M/S COGNOSYS TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH MISS KOMAL MILIND GAIKWAD VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	KARAN LALIT JAIN Adv Nikhilesh pote
-----	-----------------------------	---	--

REMARK : For set aside Note 1) Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 16/10/24 of trial Court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2) Typed English Translation of relevant order, judgment is not filed. UT Given. 3) The photocopy/copies annexed are not legible. UT given. 4) Typed copy is not given of hand written pages. UT given. 5) Amendment carried out on 10.03.2025. 6) As per Court's order dated 30/06/2025, writ issued. 7) Adv. Nikhilesh Pote has filed V.P. for Respondent No. 2. Court SJ for Admission.

139	WP/1936/2024 [Criminal]	SARITA MANTRI VS DARSHAN DEVRAJ CHADDA AND ANR	Siddhant M Dalvi
-----	----------------------------	---	------------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per order dated 17.12.2024, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has not filed Vakalatnama till today. 2) As per order dated 17.12.2024, the Criminal Writ Petition No. 2236/2024 is tagged herewith. (Court SJ for Order)

WITH

WP/2236/2024 [Criminal]	PANKAJ VIJAY AREKAR VS DARSHAN DEVRAJ CHADDA AND ANR	SIDDHANT DALVI
----------------------------	---	----------------

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. - UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per order dated 17.12.2024, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has not filed Vakalatnama till today. 2) As per order dated 17.12.2024, the Criminal Writ Petition No. 1936/2024 is tagged herewith. (Court SJ for Order)

140	WP/2107/2024 [Criminal]	PRADIP JAGATRAO PATIL AND ORS VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	SANJAY PATIL
-----	----------------------------	---	--------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per order dated. 20.07.2026, the Advocate for Petitioner has supplied the copy of petition and Criminal Writ Petition No. 2107/2024 is hereby reconstruct. (Court SJ for Admission) (for Admission, As per Order dated 20.07.2026)

141	WP/2110/2024 [Criminal]	HARJINDER SINGH VS SANJAY BABULAL SHAH AND ANR	VINAYAK PATIL
-----	----------------------------	--	---------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Appeal memo back to back each page not typed as per rule No. 26 of Chapter IV of Appellate Side Rule, 1960. U/t accepted by Registrar (Judicial) #2] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. - UT given. #3] Typed copy is not given of H/W. pages. UT given. Note :- 1) Notice issued to Respondent no. 1, is received back police report, stating therein that, "Respondent is not residing at the given address". 2) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent-State. 3) Affidavit of service by Advocate for the Petitioner send notice through Post is returned with the remark 'unclaimed'. (Court SJ for order)

142 WP/2691/2024 AMOL JAGDISH PATOLE Vivekanand Vinod Krishnan
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the judgement or order dated 01/03/2024 of trial court and first appellate court, if any. UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #3] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. #4] Photo copies annexed are not legible - UT given. #5] Small fonts pages / statements are annexed is required in appropriate font. UT given. Note :- 1) Ms. Aakanksha Yadav, waives service of notice on behalf of Respondents No. 2 & 3. 2) Vivekanand Vinod Krishnan, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Petitioner on 10.10.2025. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

143 WP/2699/2024 DEEPAK SARAF S/O MR prasad sadanand panchal
[Criminal] SHREEVALLABH SARAF RASHMIKANT AND PARTNERS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the judgement or order dated 30/04/2024 of trial court and first appellate court, if any. UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) Note: 1) As per order dtd. 20.07.2026 APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 1- State. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 (Vakalatnama filed) (Court SJ for Admission/After Notice)

144 WP/3747/2024 MUKESH BALABHAI PATEL j n jain
[Criminal] VS SHAH AKASH PARESH
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA BAGADE VAIBHAV GAJANAN

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Photo copies annexed are not legible - pg. nos. 32, 35, 37, 46 - UT given. Note : 1) Vakalatnama filed for Petitioner on 15.10.2025 2) As per Order dated 15.06.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 25.06.2026. (Court SJ for Admission)

145 WP/4150/2024 SANDEEP MURLIDHAR HIREY meghdeep oak
[Criminal] VS
RAJESH NANDLAL BADALE AND
ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 11.08.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 2) Notice issued to the Respondent No. 1 (Reminder 1 issued) service report awaited. (Court SJ for Order)

146 WP/6090/2024 BINOD AGARWAL Pradeep Havnur
[Criminal] VS Vijay Hiremath
DERICK B D SA AND ORS Vijay Hiremath

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. - UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #3] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or Order of Trial Court and First Appellate Court. Order dated 21/11/2024.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) Note :- 1) Vijay Hiremath, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 on 08.05.2026. 2) The Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has filed an affidavit in reply on 08.05.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 219 to 231. 4) The Advocate for the Petitioner has filed rejoinder is kept herewith at page nos. 232 to 251 (Court SJ for Admission)

147 WP/444/2025 PANKAJ KODNANI AND ORS Amey C Sawant
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Externment Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.2 is not received back duly served or unserved. Service report awaited. 3) As per Order dated 29.06.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 4) Writ issued. 5) As per Order dated 29.06.2026, the Hon'ble Court directed to be tagged Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 2905/2024 and 2906/2024. (Court SJ for Order)

WITH

WP/2906/2024	MAHESH KOTHARI AND ANR	NILESH SHANKAR KUMBHAR
[Criminal]	VS	MEHTA GOPAL CHANCHALRAJ
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order 156-3) Note : 1) Criminal Writ Petition No. 2905/2024 is kept herewith. 2) Admitted on 10.09.2024. 3) Cri. I.A. No.204/2025 for Early Hearing is kept herewith. 4) Rule writ issued. 5) Rule notice issued to Respondent No.2 is served. (Court DB for Final Hearing)

With

IA/204/2025	PANKAJ MANOHAR KODNANI	MEHTA GOPAL CHANCHALRAJ
[Criminal]	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
In	ANR	

WP/2906/2024

WITH

WP/2905/2024	BHARAT JAGDA AND ANR	fauzan
[Criminal]	VS	MEHTA GOPAL CHANCHALRAJ
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order 156(3)) Note : 1) Criminal Writ Petition No. 2906/2024 is kept herewith. 2) Admitted on 10.09.2024. 3) Cri. I.A. No.201/2025 for Early Hearing is kept herewith. 4) Rule Writ issued. 5) Rule Notice issued to Respondent No.2 is served. (Court DB for Final Hearing)

With

IA/201/2025	PANKAN MANOHAR KODNANI	MEHTA GOPAL CHANCHALRAJ
[Criminal]	VS	
	BHARAT JAGDA AND ANR	

In

WP/2905/2024

148	APL/521/2025	SATISH MAGAR AND ANR	PARINAM LAW ASSOCIATES
	[Criminal]	VS	Paras Yadav
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	

REMARK : For Quashing Order Note 1. APP waives service for Respondent / State. 2) Advocate Paras Yadav file Vakalatnama for Respondent no.2 on 07/05/2025. 3) Advocate for the Respondent no.2 has filed Affidavit in reply on 14/08/25 which is kept at page no.118 to 359. 4) Advocate Dhavan Shah has filed vakalatnama for Respondent no.2 on 14.11.25(Consent obtained) 5)APL 839 of 2025 is tagged herewith. 6)As per courts order dated 12/03/2026 Affidavit in reply not filed till today. 7) As per Court's order dt.12/03/26 WP 4755 of 2025 is de-tagged. 8) Affidavit on behalf of Applicant tendered in Court on 28.07.2026 is kept herewith separately (page Nos. 1 to 74) 9) Order dt.04/08/26 is remain to communicated. Court SJ for Order.

WITH

APL/839/2025 UTTAM MANOHAR KAWADE Rakesh Bhatkar
[Criminal] VS Dhavan Shah
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA Paras Yadav
AND ORS

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note : 1. Advocate Dhavan Shah has filed vakalatnama for Respondent no.2 on 14.11.25(Consent obtained) 2.As per Court's order dt.12/03/26 WP 4755 of 2025 is de-tagged. Court S.J. For Admission

WITH

WP/4577/2025 SHREEKANT MANOHAR KAWADE Rukmini Khairnar
[Criminal] VS Dhavan Shah
KIRAN MANOHAR KAWADE AND
ORS

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Dhavan A. Shah, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No. 1 on 11.11.2025. 2) Criminal Application Nos. 521/2025 and 839/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

149 WP/620/2025 MUSLIMA ABDUL HAI KHAN Ashok M Saraogi
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] Typed English Translation of relevant order is not filed. UT given. #2] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #3] The Petition does not accompany certified copy of the judgement order dated 14.02.2024 of trial court and First Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) Note : 1) Order dated. 12.04.2024, communicated to High Court Mediation Center, Mumbai. 2) Mediation report received in sealed envelope vide letter no. 1093 dated. 24.06.2024 is kept in criminal writ petition branch. Court SJ for Admission

150 WP/810/2025 GANESH RAJARAM VEER ABHISHEK R AVACHAT
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.2. 3) As per Order dated 20.07.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. (Court SJ for Order)

151 WP/914/2025 M/S M K TRANSPORT Pol Legal Juris
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note:- 1) Affidavit in reply filed by Police Inspector, Dadarsagri Police Station, Raigad on 06.08.2025 through E-filing and copy of the same is kept herewith at page no. 68 to 81. (Court S.J for Admission)

152 APL/1341/2025 MAYUR GAYNOBA UTTEKAR AND P B Makhija
[Criminal] ANR Sharad V Kulkarni
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Complaints) Note: 1. Order dated 18/06/2026 is communicated 2. Advocate Sharad Kulkarni has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 on 09/07/2026 3. APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1- State. Court SJ For Admission

153 APL/1478/2025 GURUCHARAN RATANLAL VERMA S R Pille
[Criminal] AND ANR RAGHAVENDRA S MEHROTRA
VS Lakshmi Raman
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing of FIR) Note : 1. APP for Respondent /State 2. Advocate Laxmi Raman has filed Vakalatnama for respondent no.2 on 06.01.2026. 3. As per Court's order dated 20/01/2026, Advocate for the applicant has carried out amendment on 30/01/2026 by annexing the charge-sheet from page Nos. 144 to 269 and Para 5A and adding prayer clause bb & cc. 4. Advocate Raghvendra Mehrotra has filed Vakalatnama for the Applicant on 8/7/26(Consent obtained) 5. As per cts order dated 27/07/2026 a)Amendment carried out as per cts order dated 20/01/2026 Court S.J. for Admission.

154 APL/1561/2025 Viiid Renewables Pvt Ltd YNA LEGAL LLP
[Criminal] VS YNA LEGAL LLP
(AMH20240128653C20250 State of Maharashtra Amit Kishor Rao Munde
0118)

REMARK : E-filing: 1. Adv. Amit Mundhe has filed Vakalatnama and Affidavit in reply for respondent no. 2 & 3 kept herewith at page nos. 171-192. Court S. J. for Admission.

WITH

APL/1627/2025
(AMH20240128653C20250 Viiid Renewables Pvt Ltd YNA LEGAL LLP
0116)
[Criminal] VS YNA LEGAL LLP
The State of Maharashtra Amit Kishor Rao Munde

REMARK: e-Filing No.: AMH20240128653C202500116 Note: 1. Adv. Amit Mundhe has filed Vakalatnama and Affidavit in reply for respondent no. 2 & 3 kept herewith at page nos. 171-192. Court S. J. for Admission.

155 APL/1607/2025 VASUDEV ATMARAM GUDHADE BAKUL BHOSALE
[Criminal] VS PRIYA ARUN GAJARE
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note : 1. The copy of application is not served on the PP. UT given. 2.Affidavit in Service filed by Advocate Mr. Bakul Bhosale for Petitioner on 02/04/2026 which is kept herewith at flag "A" 3.Vakalatnama filed by Advocate Priya Gajare for Respondent No.2 on 11/08/2026 4.APP waives notice for the Res No.1 5.As per cts order dated 28/07/2026 a) Affidavit in reply not filed till today Court SJ For Order

156 WP/1875/2025 M/S SOLVAY SPECIALITIES INDIA Dua Associates
[Criminal] PVT LTD AND ORS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH SAMBAJI B
VHANALAKAR AND ANR

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or Order of Trial Court and First Appellate Court. Order dated 27/02/2024.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) Note :- 1) Continuation Writ issued. 2) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Complainant. (Court SJ for Order)

157 WP/2087/2025 DEEPAK KOMAREGOWDA Vijay Bhima Dighe
[Criminal] VS DSK LEGAL
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR Sonia Sunil

REMARK : (For Quashing Issuing Process Order) Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued. 2) Vakalatnama filed for Respondent No. 2 on 01.07.2025. 3) DSK Legal, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Petitioner on 09.06.2025. 4) As per order dtd. 13.07.2026, additional affidavit on behalf of the petitioner tender in court on 08.07/2026 is kept herewith at page no. 87 to 93. 5) As per order dtd. 13.07.2026 Advocate for the Respondent No. 2 has not filed an affidavit-in-reply till date. Court SJ for Order

158	WP/2868/2025 [Criminal]	ADITI DUSHYANT MEHTA AND ANR VS M/S CHALLENGER TECHNOLOGIES AND ANR	Priyank P Kulkarni
-----	----------------------------	--	--------------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Interim Application No.1886/2025 and Interim Application No. 2306/2026 (For Direction/Extension of Time) is kept herewith. 2) APP waives notice on behalf of Respondent No. 1-State. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 service report awaited 4) Affidavit of service filed on 29.07.2026 stating therein that notice served through e-mail and via speed post item returned back with remark 'Left'. (Court SJ for Order)

with

IA/1886/2025 [Criminal]	ADITI DUSHYANT MEHTA AND ANR VS M/S CHALLENGER TECHNOLOGI ES AND ANR	Priyank P Kulkarni
----------------------------	--	--------------------

159	WP/3162/2025 [Criminal]	RAJESH KUMAR NAGENDRA BAHADUR SINGH VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	Legal Point
-----	----------------------------	--	-------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, Interim Application No. 3313/2025 is disposed. 2) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, passed in Interim Application No. 3313/2025, the Criminal Writ Petition No. 3162/2025 is restored. Court SJ for Admission)

160	WP/3668/2025 [Criminal]	JAGDISH DASHRATH PATIL VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Shubham Gangan Kartik Garg
-----	----------------------------	--	-------------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Writ issued. 2) Kartik Garg, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 on 14.08.2025. 3) Continuation writ issued. (Court S.J for Admission / After Notice). Circulation granted for 27.07.2026

161	WP/3866/2025 [Criminal]	SAIF FAREED SAYYED VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Sangram D Jadhav
-----	----------------------------	---	------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J for Admission)

162	WP/4041/2025 [Criminal]	LAKHAMSHI JETHALAL GALA VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Pradyumna Sharma
-----	----------------------------	---	------------------

REMARK : (For - Direction) Note : 1) Office Report dated. 12.09.2025 is kept herewith. 2) As per order dtd. 21.07.2026 Advocate for Petitioner has not supplied petition copy hence Notice could not be issued to Respondent No. 2. 3) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 1-state. (Court S.J. for Order)

163 WP/4087/2025 SANJEEV SAT PRAKASH GOYAL A Karim Pathan
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Issuance Process Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 is received back with police report stating therein that "Respondent not available at the time of service on given address, hence notice accepted by her Husband Mr. Pradeep Rathi. 2) IA No. 4412/20025 (for stay) is kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

with

IA/4412/2025 SANJEEV SAT PRAKASH GOYAL A Karim Pathan
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND

In ANR
WP/4087/2025

REMARK: (For - Stay) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Criminal Writ Petition No.4087/2025 is kept herewith. (Court SJ for Order) Circulation granted for 01.09.2026 wm

164 WP/4091/2025 KUMAR GULABRAO GHADAGE Veerdhaval Kakade
[Criminal] VS HRISHIKESH SOPAN SHINDE
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :1) Ld. APP waives notice for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 on 01/08/2025. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 3. is served (Advocate Hrishikesh Sopan Shinde Vakalatnama filed for Respondent No.3.) 3) Writ issued. 4) Certified copy of the Judgement dated 24.01.2025 in SPCA/Complaint Case No.77/2022 of State Police Complaints Authority, Maharashtra State, Mumbai filed by the Advocate for the Petitioner on 18.08.2025 is kept herewith. 5) Cri. Writ Petition No. 4525/2025 is tagged herewith as per Court's Order dated 24/09/2025 6) The Affidavit in Reply filed by the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is kept herewith at Page Nos.108-143. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

WITH

WP/4525/2025 SUHAS PRAKASH BAWACHE AND Veerdhaval Kakade
[Criminal] ANR Sonali Ramchandra Chavan
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK: (For - Direction/Quash order) Note : 1)Ld. A.P.P. waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 on 25/08/2025. 2) Notice served for Respondent No.3 (Vakalatnama filed) 3) writ issued. 4) The Affidavit in Reply filed by the Advocate for Respondent No.3 on 20/11/2025 is kept herewith at Page Nos.110-144. (Court SJ for Admission / After Notice)

165 WP/4369/2025 YOGESH RAMRATAN UPADHYAY Jayendra D Khairnar
[Criminal] VS Ashish S Gabhale
NISHIKANT DATTATRAYA SAWALE Ashish S Gabhale
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) Ashish S. Gabhale, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 on 03.10.2025. 2) APP waives notice for Respondent No.4-State. (Court S.J for Admission / After Notice)

166 WP/4611/2025 ASHISH SATISH MITTAL Vijay Upadhyay
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Interim Application No. 3564/2025 (for Quashing Order) is kept herewith. 2) Writ issued. (Court S.J. for Admission)

with

IA/3564/2025
[Criminal]

ASHISH SATISH MITTAL
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Vijay Upadhyay

In

WP/4611/2025

167 WP/4630/2025
[Criminal]

MOHAN NANOOMAL
MOOLCHANDANI HUF MRS
NEELAM SURESH RAMANI
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

DUSHYANT LILANI
LEGAL PYRAMIDS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued to Respondent No.1. 2) Harish Kalyana Raman, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 through E-filing. 3) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 4633/2025, 4639/2025, 4644/2025 & 4662/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Order)

WITH

WP/4633/2025
[Criminal]

SANJAY MOHAN MOOLCHANDANI
HUF SANJAY MOHAN MOOLCHA
NDANI KARTA
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

DUSHYANT LILANI
HARISH KALYANA RAMAN
LEGAL PYRAMIDS

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued to Respondent No.1. 2) Harish Kalyana Raman, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 through E-filing. 3) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 4630/2025, 4639/2025, 4644/2025 & 4662/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Order)

WITH

WP/4639/2025
[Criminal]

REKHAN MOHAN MOLLCHANDANI
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

DUSHYANT LILANI
HARISH KALYANA RAMAN
LEGAL PYRAMIDS

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued to Respondent No.1. 2) Harish Kalyana Raman, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 through E-filing. 3) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 4630/2025, 4633/2025, 4644/2025 & 4662/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Order)

WITH

WP/4644/2025

MOHAN NANOOMAL MOOLCHANDA MRITYUNJAY

[Criminal]	NI	HARISH KALYANA RAMAN
	VS	LEGAL PYRAMIDS
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued to Respondent No.1. 2) Harish Kalyana Raman, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 through E-filing. 3) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 4630/2025, 4633/2025, 4639/2025 & 4662/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Order)

WITH

WP/4662/2025	KASHISH SANJAY MOOLCHANDA	MRITYUNJAY
[Criminal]	NI	HARISH KALYANA RAMAN
	VS	LEGAL PYRAMIDS
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Respondent No.1 is formal party. Hence, notice not issued to Respondent No.1. 2) Harish Kalyana Raman, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 through E-filing. 3) As per Order dated 07.03.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 4) Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 4630/2025, 4633/2025, 4639/2025 & 4644/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Order)

168	WP/4686/2025	THE BOMBAY PRESIDENCY RADIO	Ashok M Saraogi
	[Criminal]	CLUB LTD THROUGH RAHUL	Saloni Makhan
		PRATAP SINGH AND ORS	
		VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note : 1) As per order dated 05.02.2026 Interim Application (St) No. 862/2026 (for Direction) disposed off and kept herewith in office part. 2) As per order dated 05.02.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 17.02.2026 by adding the copy of orders from Page Nos. 274 to 284 to the Petition. 3) Affidavit filed by Advocate for Petitioner on dated 11.02.2026 at page no. 282 to 302. 4) Bhoomi Upadhyay, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.2 on 02.04.2026. 5) As per Order dated 05.02.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed an affidavit in reply on 02.04.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 282 to 305(Pagination not done properly). (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

169	WP/4755/2025	NAINESH VITHALDAS BHAGAT	Pol Legal Juris
	[Criminal]	VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note : 1) Ms. Tendulkar, the learned APP waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. 2) Writ issued. 3) As per order dated. 06.10.2025, passed in Cri. APL 521/2025, this petition (Cri. W.P. 4755/2025) tagged alongwith. 4) As per Order dated 12.03.2026, Criminal Writ Petition No. 4755/2025 has de-tagged. 5) As per order dated 16.09.2025, the Police Inspector, Crime Branch, Unit-4, Mumbai has filed an affidavit in reply on 13.11.2025 which is kept herewith at page nos. 96 to 109. Court S.J for Admission/After Notice

170	WP/4878/2025	STUTI NEMJI GALIYA	AWADHESH JHA
	[Criminal]	VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	

REMARK : (For - Quashing Proceeding) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered affidavit-in-compliance in the Court on 07.03.2026, which is kept herewith separately. (Court S.J. for Admission)

with

IA(ST)/12355/2026
[Criminal]

STUTI NEMJI GALIYA
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

AWADHESH JHA

In
WP/4878/2025

171 WP/5066/2025
[Criminal]

ABHAY NARENDRA LODHA
VS
DILSHAD TRADING PVT LTD AND
ANR

BHAVESH THAKUR
Lex Juris

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note:- 1) Lex Juris, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 03.12.2025. 2) APP waives notice for Respondent No.2/State. 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

172 WP/5098/2025
[Criminal]

RISHAB DILIPKUMAR AGARWAL
VS
KAMLESH JAYANTILAL JAIN AND
ANR

BHAVESH THAKUR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Proceeding) Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.1. 2) APP waives notice for Respondent No.2-State. 3) As per Order dated 18.12.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 4) Writ issued. 5) Certificate issued by the Axis Bank dated 09.10.2025 is tendered in the Court on 18.12.2025, which is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Order)

173 WP/5214/2025
[Criminal]

ABDUL KADIR GHOGARI
VS
UNION OF INDIA

Aditya Sharma
HALWASIA SUSHILKUMAR
POONAMCHAND

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] How Maintainable UT given by advocate regarding maintainability. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 10.10.2025 and 27.11.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 03.12.2025. 2) The Advocate S. K. Halwasia, has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent N6163o.1 on 02.02.2026 through E-filing. (Court S.J for Admission/After Notice)

174 WP/5218/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) As per Order dated 10.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5333/2025, 5343/2025, 5344/2025, 5345/2025 and 5346/2025 are tagged alongwith. 2) Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied copy of Petition hence notice could not be issued to Respondent alongwith all connected matters. 3) App waives notice on behalf of Respondent No. 1-state. (Court S.J for Order)

WITH

WP/5332/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND

VARSHA THORAT

ANR

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] The Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered compilation (copy of Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025) on 16.10.2025, which is kept herewith separately. 3] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 4] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5333/2025, 5343/2025, 5344/2025, 5345/2025 and 5346/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5344/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 3] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5333/2025, 5343/2025, 5345/2025 and 5346/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5333/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 3] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5343/2025, 5344/2025, 5345/2025 and 5346/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5345/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 3] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5333/2025, 5343/2025, 5344/2025 and 5346/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5346/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 3] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5333/2025, 5343/2025, 5344/2025 and 5345/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5343/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	M.G. ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	
	AND ANR	

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. 2] As per Order dated 16.10.2025, Criminal Writ Petition No. 5218/2025 is kept herewith. 3] Criminal Writ Petition Nos. 5332/2025, 5333/2025, 5344/2025, 5345/2025 and 5346/2025 are kept herewith. (Court S.J for Admission)

WITH

WP/5393/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5395/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5394/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5396/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	---------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5397/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5410/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S. VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5418/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5409/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S. VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5419/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5407/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.
ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5405/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5406/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5412/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.
ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5469/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5408/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5413/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5457/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5474/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5416/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5455/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5444/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5414/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5493/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	---------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5417/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5440/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5492/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5434/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5429/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5411/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5433/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5415/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5463/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5471/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5472/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5424/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5435/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5392/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5489/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5398/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5420/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5490/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5454/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	--------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5484/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5428/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR.

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5476/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5475/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5403/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5485/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5399/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5402/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5423/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR.	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR.	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5453/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5426/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5400/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5458/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5425/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5456/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5460/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5473/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5401/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5479/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	---------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5439/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5470/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S. VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5443/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5422/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5442/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5487/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5488/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5421/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5436/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5432/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5437/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5467/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5441/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5459/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5482/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5431/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5486/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5481/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5491/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	---------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5450/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5430/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5480/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5465/2025 IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5448/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.
ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5451/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5445/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5427/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5446/2025
[Criminal]

IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A
NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

VARSHA THORAT

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5468/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5466/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5452/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5483/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5447/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5464/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5461/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5449/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU M/S.	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	ASSOCIATEZ AND ANR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5477/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
[Criminal]	NR	
	VS	
	STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ANR	

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

WITH

WP/5478/2025	IQBAL SINGH MATHARU AND A	VARSHA THORAT
--------------	---------------------------	---------------

[Criminal] NR
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note:- 1] Spare Copy filed for Respondent No. 2 is kept in Office Part. (Court SJ for Admission / Fresh)

175 WP/5337/2025 ANANMAYEE PHARMACHEM Manuj P Borkar
[Criminal] PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANR Ivory Legal
VS
THINQ PHARMA-CRO LIMITED AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 is received back duly served. 2) APP waives notice to Respondent no.2/State. 3) Writ issued. (Court S.J for Admission / After Notice)

176 WP/5386/2025 LAXMAN @ PRETAM SATISH Adv Kunjan Makwana
[Criminal] KUNCHIKURVE
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Externment Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 17.10.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment on 31.10.2025 by adding the copy of reasoned order passed by the Appellate Authority at page nos. 70A to 70I, amending the relevant paragraphs and adding additional grounds at page no. 13 to the Petition. (Court SJ for Admission)

177 WP/6145/2025 PARAG DINESH DOSHI AAT Legal And Co
[Criminal] VS
GANPATI STOCKS PRIVATE LIMITED
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note : #1] APP waives service of notice for the Respondent No.2. #2] As per Order dated 26.11.2025, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 21.01.2026, stating therein that notice served to Respondent No.1. #3] Writ issued. #4] The Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered copies of the Roznama of the proceeding before the Judicial Magistrate (First Class), 44th Court, Andheri, Mumbai in the Court on 26.11.2025, which is kept herewith in III Volume. #5] The Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered compilation in the Court on 26.11.2025, which is kept herewith separately. #6] Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 is not received back duly served or unserved. Service report awaited. (Court S.J for Order)

178 WP/6435/2025 YASIN ISMAIL CHOWDHARY Tahera Qureshi
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) APP waives notice for the State-Respondent No.1. 2) Advocate for the Petitioner has filed Affidavit of service on 17.07.2026, stating therein that notice served on Respondent No. 2 by hand delivery. 3) Notice (Reminder No.1) issued to Respondent No. 2 and 3 service report awaited. (Court S.J. for Order)

179 WP/6703/2025 DINESH BABURAO MAHADIK Adv Noorsaba Shaikh
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Direction/Quash Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission)

with

IA(ST)/16026/2026
[Criminal]M/S OM TURANTH LOGISTICS
PVT LTD THROUGH MR RAJAN
GANATRA

Iqbal Shaikh

In

WP/6703/2025

VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS180 WP/6722/2025
[Criminal]MOHAK JASWAL
VS
UNION OF INDIA

Lakshmi Raman

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.1. 2) APP waives notice for Respondent No.2. 3) As per Order dated 08.01.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 05.02.2026, stating therein that notice served to Respondent No.1 4) Criminal Writ Petition No. 2327/2025 is kept herewith. 5) As per order dtd. 22.07.2026, affidavit in reply has not filed by Advocate till date. (Court S.J. for Order)

WITH

WP/2327/2025
[Criminal]MOHAK JASWAL
VS
UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

Lakshmi Raman

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) #Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Petitioner has not supplied spare copy of petition. Hence, notice could not be issued to Respondent No.1. 2) APP waives notice for Respondent No.2. 3) As per Order dated 08.01.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 05.02.2026, stating therein that notice served to Respondent No.1 4) Criminal Writ Petition No. 6722/2025 is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Order)

181 WP(ST)/25844/2025
[Criminal]RAHUUL RAMESH SHAH AND ANR.
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.AAYUSHYA GERUJA
Divya Shah Associates
ANB LEGAL
SHAISTA HADI

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Office Objection : # 1] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or Order of Trial Court and First Appellate Court. Order dated 05/12/2025.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) Note :- 1) APP waives notice to the Respondent No.1 2)Mr. Mundargi, waives notice to Respondent Nos. 2, 7, 8, 15, 16, and 33. 3) Ms. Chandani waives notice to Respondent Nos. 35 & 36. 4) Continuation Writ issued. 5) Diya Shah Associates, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 5, 6, 9,10,11,12,13, 14, 19,20,23, 24, 25, 26,27,28,29,30, 31 and 32 . 6) ANB Legal has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.37 on 24.02.2026 through E-filing. 7) Dinesh Shah Associates has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 17 and 18. 8) The Advocate for the Respondent No.37 has filed an affidavit in reply on 10.03.2026 through E-filing. 9) Divya Shah Associates has filed two Vakalatnamas for Respondent Nos. 3, 4 and 21, 22 on 11.03.2026. 10) Aaqib Kazi, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.34 on 24.03.2026 11) IA (St) 7997/2026 (for Intervention) is allowed on 18.04.2026. However Amendment not carried out. 12) Advocate for the Respondent No.37 has tendered payment schedule is agreed upon between the parties and the terms of the settlement recorded by the office bearers of Respondent No. 37 dated 30.04.2026 and authorised signatory of Shree Naman Estate Projects Pvt Ltd. in the Court on 04.05.2026. Court SJ for Order (HOB As per Order dated 20.07.2026)

with

IA(ST)/17233/2026
[Criminal]MINTU BHARAT SHAH AND ORS
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND

Bharat Jain

ORS.

with

IA(ST)/7997/2026
[Criminal]HDFC BANK LTD
VS

H and M Legal Associates

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

182 WP/57/2026
[Criminal]BENGAL ESSENCE STORES AND
ANR
VS
POOJA ENTERPRISES AND ANR

K C Pandey

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Writ issued. 2) Criminal Writ Petition No. 66/2026 is kept herewith. 3) The Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 27.02.2026, stating therein that notice served to Respondent nos. 1 and 2. The said affidavit is kept in office part. (Court S.J. for Admission) Circulation granted for 22.07.2026

WITH

WP/66/2026
[Criminal]M/S BENGAL ESSENCE STORES
AND ORS
VS
M/S POOJA ENTERPRISES AND
ANR

K C Pandey

REMARK: (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Writ issued. 2) Criminal Writ Petition No. 57/2026 is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission)

183 WP/298/2026
[Criminal]RAJENDRA JYOTIBA GHADGE
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANRAnuj Tiwari
Girish R Agrawal

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Note : 1) APP waives notice for the Respondent No.1. 2) Girish R. Agrawal, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 on 05.06.2026. 3) As per Order dated 13.07.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an additional affidavit till today. 4) As per Order dated 13.07.2026, the APP has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. Court SJ for Order (At 3:00 p.m., As per Order dated 13.07.2026) (Note:- Affidavit filed by Shantaram Gyanba Dambale, Police Inspector, presently attached to Upnagar Police Station, Nashik City, Nashik on 30.07.2026 is kept herewith at page no. 47 to 83).

184 WP/396/2026
[Criminal]SHIV SHAKTI FENCLAVES PVT LTD
THROUGH SANJAY B AGARWAL AND
ORS
VS
ASHOK KUMAR B THAKUR AND ANRDHIREN
SANDEEP DUBEY

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) Sandeep Dubey, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama for Respondent No.1 on 04.03.2026. 2) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent no. 2 -State. 3) Affidavit -in-reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1 has filed on 07.08.2026 is kept herewith at page no. 207 to 224 (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

185 APL/454/2026
[Criminal]ABDUL RAHMAN IRSHAD ANSARI
AND ORS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORSMOHD SAEED MOGHUL
VASUNDHARA G. SONI

REMARK : (For Quashing Proceeding) Note : 1. APP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent No.1-State. 2. Advocate Vasundhara G. Soni has filed Vakalatnama for the respondent No.2 on 23/04/2026. 3. Order dtd 03.08.2026 is communicated. Court S. J. for Admission.

186	APL/639/2026 [Criminal]	PARTHESH JITENDRA MEHTA VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	PAWAR INDRAJIT
-----	----------------------------	--	----------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note: 1. Office report dt.04/07/2026 received from Registrar (Juld- I) on 14/07/26 is kept herewith. 2.As per cts order dated 28/07/2026 Advocate Mr. Kripashankar N. Pandey is appointed to represent Respondent No.2, Order Communicated to High Court legal Aid Service Court S. J. for Admission.

187	APL/662/2026 [Criminal] (AMH20240127174C20260 0013)	AMIT MANGILAL JAIN VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	MAHAVEER JAIN
-----	--	--	---------------

REMARK : E-filing (For Quash and set aside Order) As per cts order dated 16/04/2026 a)Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit of Service by through e-filing on 25/05/2026 b)APP waives notice for the Respondent No.1 c)Advocate for the applicant has not supplied spare copy till today. Hence Notice is not issued d) Writ Put up Court (S.J) for Order.

188	APL/833/2026 [Criminal]	AJIT KULKARNI AND ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Prasad S Panchal Amit Pai
-----	----------------------------	---	------------------------------

REMARK : (For Quashing Order) Note: 1) Application does not accompany certified copy of judgment or order dtd. 26/12/2023 of trial Court and Firdt Appellate Court, if any. UT accepted by RJ II. 2)APP waives service on behalf of respondent no.1-state 3)Vakalatnama filed by Advocate Mr. Amit Pai for Respondent No.2 on 05/08/2026 Court SJ For Admission

189	APL/899/2026 [Criminal]	VIJAY BIYANI SON OF LAXMINARAYAN VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR	Link legal Saurabh Bachhawat YOGESHWAR SHIVANATH BHATE
-----	----------------------------	--	--

REMARK : (For apl) Note: 1) Advocate for the applicant has not supplied spare copy till today, hence notice could not be issued. Court SJ For Orders. Vol-II

190	WP/1319/2026 [Criminal]	PRASANNA RAVINDRA GADKARI VS KAMINI RABINDRA DWIVEDI AND ANR	NILESH MANDAVKAR Pramod Pandey
-----	----------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Advocate Mr. Pramod Pande, waive service of notice on behalf of Respondent No.1 (Vakalatnama filed) 2) The Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 20.04.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 253 to 271. (Court S.J. for Admission / After Notice) Circulation granted for 28.07.2026

WITH

WP/1006/2025 [Criminal]	PRASANNA RAVINDRA GADKARI VS ALOK RABINDRA DWIVEDI AND ANR	NILESH N MANDAVKAR Pramod Pandey
----------------------------	---	-------------------------------------

REMARK: (For Quashing Order) Note :- 1) The Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in reply on 17.06.2025, which is kept herewith at page nos. 264 to 285. 2) Certified copy of Order dated 04.12.2024 passed by Sessions Court, Vasai is kept herewith. 3) Mr. Tripathi, Advocate waives service of notice for Respondent No.1. 4) APP waives service of notice for Respondent No.2. 5) As per Order dated 08.01.2026, the Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has not filed an affidavit in reply till today. 6) Writ issued. (Court SJ for Order)

191 WP/1639/2026 HDFC BANK LIMITED Abhay Nevagi And Associates
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Certified copy of order dated 06.02.2026 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, City Civil & Sessions Court, Borivali Division, Dindoshi, Mumbai has filed on 30.03.2026, which is kept herewith. 2) APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1. 2) As per Order dated 10.04.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has filed an affidavit of service on 18.06.2026, through E-filing. 3) Fresh Notice served to Respondent Nos. 2 to 4. 4) As per Order dated 14.07.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 5) Status quo writ issued. 6) The Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed an affidavit in reply on 20.07.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 349 to 370.(VP not filed) (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

192 WP/1875/2026 ASIF IQBAL KHAN AND ANR Pol Legal Juris
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Orrder) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

193 WP/1928/2026 GOVIND SANJAY SURYAWANSHI Sushant Jagadish Tayade
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

194 WP/1957/2026 FARAZ MOHD. AZAD SHAIKH SHREE AND CO
[Criminal] VS
ALIZA RARAZ SHAIKH AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Exparte Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) Order dated 02.07.2026, has been complied, the compilation document is kept herewith. (Court S.J. for Admission)

195 WP/1973/2026 NAZIYA SAMEER SHAHA Mrunmai Kailas Rokade
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing FIR) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

196 WP/2066/2026 KUMAR DEVENDRA VALIA SAGAR ASHOK SHAHANI
[Criminal] VS
THE OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Direction) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission/Fresh)

197 WP/2390/2026 HARMONY ORGANICS PVT. LTD., Rohit P Karhadkar
[Criminal] AND ORS
VS
PAARI CHEM RESOURCES LLP
THROUGH SACHIN VIJAY RAO AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Complaint) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 07.05.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has tendered compilation of documents on 24.06.2026, which is kept herewith at page nos. 1 to 4. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 service report awaited (Reminder No. 1). 3) Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are formal parties. Hence, notice not be issued. 4) As per Order dated 24.06.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of service till today. 5) As per order dtd 23.07.2026 writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

198 WP/2416/2026 NITIN SRIRANG GAIKWAD Omneel Jadhav
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quash Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note :- 1) As per Order dated 08.06.2026, the Advocate for the Petitioner has carried out amendment in the Court on 08.06.2026 (Court S.J. for Admission) (H.O.B., As per Order dated 08.07.2026)

199 WP/2615/2026 PRADEEP RAMLAL AGRAWAL DEV S NAIDU
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note:-1) As per order dtd. 13.07.2026, APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 1-State. 2) Reminder Notice issued to the Respondent No. 2 service report awaited. 2) Writ issued (Court S.J. for Order) Further Note: 1) Affidavit of service filed by the Petitioner Advocate on 07.08.2026 stating therein that Hamdast served to Respondent no 2.

200 WP/2622/2026 SALIM SHAFIQUE QURESHI Anil D. Joshi
[Criminal] VS Chinmay Godse
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) As per order dtd. 15.07.2026, APP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent No. 1-state 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 (Vakalatnama filed) 3) Writ Issued. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

201 WP/2850/2026 RUDRAPRATAP SINGH BHATTI DHARMESHJOSHI
[Criminal] LEGAL HEIR OF MR. PRATAPKUMAR
SINGH
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) AGP waives service on behalf of Respondent No.1-State. 2) Affidavit of service has filed by Advocate for the Petitioner on 05.08.2026 stating therein that item delivered. 3) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 service report awaited. (Court S.J. for Order)

202 WP/3185/2026 SHREE KRISHNA TISSUE Yogendra Giri
[Criminal] CONVERTER AND ANR Nitesh
VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing complaint) Note: 1) As per order dtd. 13.07.2026, APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 1-State. 2) Notice issued to Respondent No. 2 service report awaited. 3) As per order dtd. 13.07.2026 writ issued. (Court S.J. for Order)

203 WP/3225/2026 MOHNISH S/O LATE ASHOK S S Dube
[Criminal] SHUKLA Victor K Fernandes
VS
RAM SAMOKHAN TRIPATHI AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) AS per order 14.07.2026, APP waives service on behalf of Respondent No. 2 2) Notice issued to Respondent No.1 (Vakalatnama filed) (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

204 WP/3229/2026 NANDLAL MANGILAL JHANWAR Sunil Karoth
[Criminal] VS
PUNYA JHAVERI AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1)As per order dated 14.07.2026 APP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent No. 2. 2)Notice issued to Respondent No. 1 service report awaited. (Court S.J. for Order)

205 WP/3339/2026 SUBHASH KARBHARI GHUMARE YENDE LEGAL ASSOCIATES
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note: 1) As per order dtd 27.07.2026, APP waives of service on behalf of Respondent No. 1 -State. 2)Advocate for petitioner has not supplied petition copy hence Notice could not be issued to Respondent No. 2. (Court S.J. for Order)

206 WP/3437/2026 SHAHIDA PASCAL POSTEL Ghanshyam Mishra
[Criminal] VS PARINAM LAW ASSOCIATES
PASCAL MICHEL POSTEL AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) Note :- 1) Notice issued to Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 (Vakalatnama filed) 2)Affidavit of service (through e-file) has filed by Advocate for the Petitioner stating therein that service served. (Court S.J. for Admission/After Notice)

207 WP/3564/2026 OMPRAKASH RAMGOPAL RATHI CHETAN ARVIND ALAI
[Criminal] VS RAJ LEGAL
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. Note: 1)Vakalatnama has filed by Advocate for the Respondent NO. 2 (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) Circulation granted for 18.08.2026

208 WP/3576/2026 SHRI NANDU BELANI PARMAR KARTTAVYA JASWANTRAJ
[Criminal] VS Yogesh R Israni
(AMH20260009488C20260 JM Financial Credit Solutions Limited
0001)

REMARK : E-Filing No. AMH20260009488C202600001 (For - Quashing Complaint) (Petitioner copy supplied) Note: 1)Affidavit of service has filed by Advocate for Petitioner stating therein that notice served. 2)Compilation document has filed by Advocate through E-file. (Court S.J. for Admission)

209 WP/3582/2026 ROOPA WD/O LATE ASHOK SHUKLA S S Dube
[Criminal] VS Victor K Fernandes
RAM SAMOKHAN TRIPATHI AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

210 WP/3746/2026 ROYAL INDUSTRIAL ESTATCO OP Atithi Abhay
[Criminal] SOC LTD . AND ORS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

211 WP(ST)/10359/2026 RAMSWAROOP BHORA Adv Chaula Solanki
[Criminal] VS
SHREENIWAS COTTON MILLS LTD
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Orders dated 14/08/2024 & 15/04/2026.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

212 WP(ST)/10406/2026 RAMSWAROOP BHORA Adv Chaula Solanki
[Criminal] VS
SHREENIWAS COTTON MILLS LTD
AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. #2] Appeal/Application does not accompany certified copy of the Judgment or order of Trial Court and First Appellate Side, if any Orders dated 14/08/2024 & 15/04/2026.-UT accepted by Registrar (Judicial-II) (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh) H.O.B. as per order dtd 11.08.2026

FRESH ADMISSION

213 APL/1655/2026 PANKAJA SUDHIR SHAH VERITAS LEGAL
[Criminal] VS
(AMH20240131893C20260 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
0053)

214 APL/1673/2026 GAJENDRAN S. Hedgehog and Fox LLP
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

215 APL/1674/2026 GAJENDRAN S. Hedgehog and Fox LLP
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

216 WP/4247/2026 INDUMATI ANANT PATIL Reshma Nair
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

217 WP/4249/2026 CHANDRASHEKHAR PANCHAL MANISH M BOHRA
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

- 218 WP/4250/2026 SWATI RUPESH BUTALA SATISH KUMBHAR
[Criminal] VS
MANISH VASUDEV RAVAL AND ANR
- REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)
- 219 WP/4251/2026 PRABHAKAR NIWAS PACHIMBARE RAJEEV SAWANT AND
[Criminal] VS ASSOCIATES
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ORS
- REMARK : (For - Quashing Externment Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)
- 220 WP/4252/2026 KISHORE VASANT KUNJEER Priyanka H Chavan
[Criminal] VS samay
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
- REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)
- 221 WP/4275/2026 KINJAL AJAY SHAH MALLIKA NATRAJ SHARMA
[Criminal] VS
UNION OF INDIA AND ANR
- REMARK : (For -Quashing Issuance Process Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission/Fresh)
- 222 WP/4278/2026 UMESH BABUBHAI PATEL Ndb law
[Criminal] VS
UNION TERRITORY OF DADRA AND
NAGAR HAVELI AND DAMAN AND
DIU AND ANR
- REMARK : (For -Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission/Fresh)
- 223 WP/4306/2026 YOGESH SHRIRANG PATIL Vaibhav Hari
[Criminal] VS
SPECIAL INVESTIGATION AND
INTELLIGENCE BRANCH AND ANR.
- REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)
- 224 WP/4309/2026 A.N INSTRUMENTS PRIVATE LALIT MALI
[Criminal] LIMITED THROUGH PRADEEP
KUMAR JAIN
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR
- REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)
- 225 WP/4310/2026 SANJAY MOHAN DUBEY THROUGH AKHILESH SINGH
[Criminal] KUSH SANJAY DUBEY
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

226 WP/4311/2026 SUJEET RAMPAL MISHRA PRACHISH SHUKLA
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Externment Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

227 WP/4312/2026 V. ULAGANATHAN AND ORS LALIT MALI
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

228 WP/4313/2026 BHARAT ANAND Khaitan and Co
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

229 WP/4314/2026 SHIVAJI SHAMU WAGHRALKAR Advait U Shukla
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

230 WP/4316/2026 SHIVAJI SHAMU WAGHRALKAR Advait U Shukla
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

231 WP/4317/2026 SHIVAJI SHAMU WAGHRALKAR Advait U Shukla
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

232 WP/4318/2026 RAJENDRA SITARAM YADAV Ashwin Hawelikar
[Criminal] VS
SUMAN RAJENDRA YADAV AND ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

233 WP/4319/2026 MANOJ RUPKUMAR JAIN ADKINE NIKHIL VIJAY
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

234 WP/4320/2026 NARAYAN DATTATRAY KHANOLKAR AMEY DESHPANDE
[Criminal] VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

235 WP/4321/2026 SUHAS BALASAHEB SAWKAR Sidheshwar Biradar
[Criminal] VS
SUNITA PRADEEP KANKARIYA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

236 WP/4322/2026 SUHAS BALASAHEB SAWKAR Sidheshwar Biradar
[Criminal] VS
SUNITA PRADEEP KANKARIYA AND
ORS

REMARK : (For - Quashing Order) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

237 WP/4370/2026 AMIT ANIL PEDNEKAR AND ANR Kripashankar N Pandey
[Criminal] VS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Complaint) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)

238 WP/4371/2026 VENKATESH BHASKARRAO Utangale and Co
[Criminal] BAYASKAR
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND
ANR

REMARK : (For - Quashing Proceeding) #Office Objection : #1] The copy of application is not served on the PP. - UT given. (Court S.J. for Admission / Fresh)