

In the High Court of Judicature, Bombay.

Wednesday, the 8 day of March 1864

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 613 of 1864.

Bal Krishna Sanardan & Co in the Putnagiri Division of the Southern District (Original Plaintiff) } Appellants

v.s.

Hashinath Moreshewar Soman in the Putnagiri Division of the Southern District (Original Defendant) } Respondents

Rs 578

Special Appeal No. 614 of 1864.

Hashinath Moreshewar Soman in the Putnagiri Division of the Southern District (Original Defendant) } Appellants

v.s.

Bal Krishna Sanardan & Co in the Putnagiri Division of the Southern District (Original Plaintiff) } Respondents

Rs. 1599

The claim in the Original Suit was to recover Rs 1599... on account of the... stamping agreement.

In Appeal No. 13 of 1863 the Senior District Judge of the District of the Honble... awarded Rs 430... the sum of Rs 1021... from date of suit up to date of final payment at 4 per cent p m.

A Special Appeal was preferred in the High Court on the grounds that (1) there

has been a substantial error in law in the investigation of the case and which may have produced error in the decision of the case

case on its merits in that owing to the Respondent's failure to act up to the agreement, the Appellant was in violation of the terms thereof, entitled to damages which have not been awarded to him, and that (2) the Appellant found himself under the necessity of stamping the agreement in consequence of the objections stated by the Respondent, who being the party to blame in the matter, the Appellant was entitled to the cost of stamping, and to damages. The Senior Assistant Judge, therefore should not have thrown his claim there to.

In case of appeal No 614 of 1864

I That the decision of the Senior Assistant Judge is against Law, in that

(1) The District Court has admitted secondary evidence without a satisfactory account of the loss, or destruction of the primary evidence on the part of the Plaintiff, or giving the defendant notice as provided by Law.

(2) The agreement sued upon has been insufficiently stamped.

II That there have been errors in Law in the investigation of the case which have produced substantial errors in the decision of the case on its merits, in that

(1) The following point has been omitted to be raised, who has made a breach in the agreement sued upon.

JLB

The Court on file the Decree of the
 J. Judge with costs in Spl. Appeals
 Nos 613. & 614 on Spl. Appeal to the case

Atchulak Perkes,
 J. P. of the Court

Bill of Costs.

In Special Appeal No. 613 of 1864.

By the Appellant.

In the District.

In the Moonsiff's Court	124.6.6	
Do — Sr. assist. judge's Court	93.1.0	2.17.7.6

In this Court.

Stamp for memo. of Special appeal	325.0.0	
Stamps for copies of decree & judgment	3.0.0	
Stamps for two Vakalutnamas	4.0.0	
Stamp of an application to enter the name of the appellants heir	2.0.0	
Batta for Process and Postage	1.12.0	
Sectioner's fee	1.9.6	
Vakeel's fee	17.5.5	
		<u>61.10.11</u>
		<u>Rupees 279.2.5.</u>

By the Respondent.

In the District.

In the Moonsiff's Court	53.7.1	
Do — Senior assist. judge's Court	35.9.0	89.5.1

In this Court.

Stamp for Vakalutnama	2.0.0	
Vakeel's fee	17.5.5	
		<u>19.5.5</u>
		<u>Rupees 108.5.6</u>

In

In Special appeal No 614 of 1864.

By the appellant.

In this Court.

Stamp for Memo: of Special appeal.	50	---	---
Stamps for copies of decree & judgment.	4	---	---
Stamp for vakalatnama	2	---	---
Stamp of an application to enter the name of the Respondent's heir	2	---	---
Batta for Process and Postage	5	14	---
Sectioner's fee	1	15	---
Vakeel's fee	47	15	6
			<u>113-12-6</u>
			<u>Rupes 113-12-6</u>

By the Respondent.

Stamp for vakalatnama	2	---	---
Vakeel's fee	47	15	6
			<u>49-15-6</u>
			<u>Rupres 49-15-6</u>

Ed 20.9.8



[Signature]
Sealer

[Signature]
Registrar

The 8th day of March 1865

[Faint, illegible handwritten text and scribbles at the bottom of the page.]