

In the High Court of Judicature, Bombay.

Tues - day, the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August — 1864

SPECIAL APPEAL No. 416 — OF 1864.

Lukkee Nagao Ma-  
-hataro of the Konkan  
District — (Original Defendant)

Appellant

versus

Devidas Ooshoo Shet  
of the Konkan Dis-  
-trict — (Original Plaintiff)

Respondent

Rs. 150 — — —

The claim in the Original Suit was to obtain removal of a house and possession of a piece of ground in Koudri, the plaintiff alleging that the ground belonged to his father and that Dejo Fakir had built a house on it.

In Appeal No. 371 — of 1863 — the Asst. JUDGE — — — of the District of the Konkan at Tanna reversed — — — the Decree of the JUDGE of Cunnell who had thrown out the claim and awarded the ground to Devidas —

A Special Appeal was preferred in the High Court on the grounds that (1) there has been an error in law in the procedure of the case which has produced error in the decision of the

the case upon the merits in that,

(a) The Court below holding that there were no valid reasons for holding the deed of Sale to the opposite party, valid, still allowed his claims.

(b) The Court below has dismissed the Appellant's claims because he produced no document of any kind. This not being necessary.

(c) The right of Buppoo to convey the ground to the opposite party has not been determined, nor laid down as a point for decision.

(d) The genuineness of the opposite party's deed has not been determined.

(e) The Map being prepared for the purposes of the suit could afford no evidence as to the ownership of the land.

(f) The Appellant being in possession, the onus of proof has been wrongly thrown upon him.

(g) Assuming the land to be that of the opposite party, he having wilfully, or negligently suffered the Appellant to build upon the land in dispute bonafide believing it to be his own, cannot now equitably claim the removal of the house. But the Court below might (if he were entitled to it) have allowed him due compensation.

The Court reverses the Decree of  
the Ass. Judge and remands the  
Case for the determination of the  
following points.

Whether, admitting that the  
ground did belong to Davidass, &  
has therefore been properly awarded  
to him, Futer is not, under the  
circumstances of the case, entitled  
to some allowance or Compensation  
in respect of having to remove his  
house, wh<sup>ch</sup>, as alleged in his answer,  
he had been allowed to build  
without warning or <sup>interruption</sup> ~~obstruction~~  
from Davidass.

A new Decree to be passed. Cost<sup>s</sup>  
to follow <sup>the</sup> final decision.

Joseph Arnold

*[Signature]*

MEMORANDUM OF COSTS incurred in Special Appeal No. 416.

of 186 4 against the decision of the *Ajisland Judge* of the District of ~~the River~~ <sup>Kontau</sup> and disposed of on the 23 Aug. 1864 by *remanding the same for retrial.*

IN THIS COURT.

BY THE APPELLANT—

Stamps for copies of Decree and Judgment .....	3	..	..	
Stamp for Vukeelutnama .....	2	..	..	
Batta for Process and Postage .....	1	5	..	
Sectioner's Fee .....	"	13	9	
Vukeel's Fee one-fourth .....	1	2	..	8 4 9
				Rupees ... 8 4 9

BY THE RESPONDENT—

Stamp for Vukeelutnama .....	2	..	..	
Vukeel's Fee one-fourth .....	1	2	..	3 2 ..
				Rupees.... 3 2 ..



*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
 ... Registrar

Issued a Certificate on the Treasury  
of the Collector of Tanna for the refund  
of Rupees Eight (8) being the value  
of the stamp used in Special appeal  
in this case.

23<sup>rd</sup> August 1864

G. H. Smith  
For Acting Registrar

Certificate issued

Ed. J. M.

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text and signatures at the bottom of the page, partially obscured by a large tear.]*